



SC Annual School Report Card Summary

**LAKEWOOD HIGH SCHOOL
SUMTER**
Grades: 9-12 **Enrollment: 1,134**
Principal: John Michalik
Superintendent: J. Frank Baker
Board Chair: E. Keith Schultz

PERFORMANCE

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of ratings, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on www.ed.sc.gov and www.eoc.sc.gov as well as school and school district websites. Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.

YEAR	ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING	PALMETTO GOLD AND SILVER AWARD		ESEA/FEDERAL ACCOUNTABILITY RATING SYSTEM	
			General Performance	Closing the Gap	ESEA Grade	Accountability Indicator
2014	Average	At-Risk	TBD	TBD	C	N/A
2013	Average	Below Average	N/A	N/A	F	N/A
2012	Average	Average	N/A	N/A	D	N/A

ABSOLUTE RATING OF HIGH SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS*

EXCELLENT	GOOD	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	AT-RISK
6	9	15	2	0

* Ratings are calculated with data available by 04/27/2015. Schools with Students Like Ours with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this district.

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM(HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE(%): SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

	Our High School		High Schools with Students Like Ours	
	2013	2014	2013	2014
Passed 2 subtests (%)	68.6%	56.6%	71.9%	67.4%
Passed 1 subtest (%)	19.3%	27.1%	15.8%	19.4%
Passed no subtests (%)	12.0%	16.3%	13.2%	13.2%

HSAP PASSAGE RATE (%) BY SPRING 2014

	Our High School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Passage Rate	84.2%	88.7%

ON-TIME GRADUATION RATE

	Our High School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Number of students	275	159
Number of diplomas	216	121
Rate (%)	78.5%	77.9%


END OF COURSE TESTS - 2014

% of students scoring 70 or above on:	Our High School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	45.3%	76.4%
English 1	57.8%	60.3%
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	61.6%	68.3%
US History and the Constitution	46.0%	52.1%
All Tests	52.8%	63.8%


NAEP*

*Performance reported for SC and nation, data not available at school level.
Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels.


READING - GRADE 8 (2013)



MATH - GRADE 8 (2013)



SCIENCE - GRADE 8 (2011)



SC PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

Abbreviations Key

N/A-Not Applicable

N/AV-Not Available

N/C-Not Collected

N/R-Not Reported

I/S-Insufficient Sample

TBD-To Be Determined

LAKEWOOD HIGH SCHOOL
SUMTER
SCHOOL PROFILE

	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n = 1,134)				
Retention Rate	2.3%	Down from 3.9%	3.9%	3.0%
Attendance Rate	93.6%	Up from 93.3%	95.5%	96.2%
Served by gifted and talented program	19.1%	Up from 17.7%	12.3%	17.7%
With disabilities	13.0%	Down from 13.8%	14.4%	11.5%
Older than usual for grade	10.8%	Down from 11.0%	9.3%	7.1%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	0.5%	Down from 1.7%	2.0%	1.1%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	0.0%	No change	8.7%	15.4%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A	23.3%	52.8%
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship	29.2%	Down from 32.3%	29.8%	30.6%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	23.8%	N/A	14.1%	5.3%
Enrollment in career/technology courses	797	Down from 800	323	423
Career/technology students attaining technical skills	88.7%	Up from 83.3%	81.2%	84.5%
Annual dropout rate	1.9%	Down from 2.2%	2.1%	2.1%
Teachers (n = 59)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	55.9%	Up from 55.4%	60.6%	64.3%
Continuing contract teachers	59.3%	Down from 60.7%	69.7%	77.3%
Teachers returning from previous year	72.4%	Up from 70.0%	82.9%	85.5%
Teacher attendance rate	95.6%	Up from 94.8%	95.5%	95.5%
Average teacher salary*	\$45,968	Up 4.3%	\$46,284	\$48,414
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	16.4%	Down from 21.1%	4.8%	3.0%
Professional development days/teacher	9.4 days	Up from 8.6 days	11.4 days	10.0 days
School				
Principal's years at school	1.0	Down from 9.0	4.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	33.6 to 1	Down from 35.0 to 1	20.9 to 1	26.0 to 1
Prime instructional time	88.4%	Up from 87.1%	89.6%	90.3%
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$6,182	Up 9.3%	\$10,241	\$8,238
Percent expenditures for teacher salaries**	52.7%	Up from 52.0%	54.4%	56.9%
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	53.4%	Down from 54.0%	55.8%	58.0%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	Down from Excellent	Good	Excellent
SACS accreditation	Yes	No change	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	88.9%	Down from 94.3%	97.3%	98.7%
Character development program	Excellent	No change	Good	Good
ESEA composite index score	74.7	Up from 55.9	78.4	84.1

* Length of contract = 185+ days.
**Prior year audited financial data available.

EVALUATION RESULTS

	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	47	188	23
Percent satisfied with learning environment	76.6%	63.8%	69.5%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	76.1%	70.2%	82.6%
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	57.4%	80.6%	65.2%

* Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade eleven, only the highest grade was included.

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of ratings, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on www.ed.sc.gov and www.eoc.sc.gov as well as school and school district websites.

Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL
IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

School narrative was not provided.

N/A-Not Applicable

N/AV-Not Available

N/C-Not Collected

Abbreviations Key

N/R-Not Reported

I/S-Insufficient Sample

TBD-To Be Determined