



# SC Annual School District Report Card Summary

Lexington 2 School District  
 Grades: PK-12 Enrollment: 8,538  
 Superintendent: Dr. Venus J. Holland  
 Board Chair: Mr. Bill Bingham, Jr.

## PERFORMANCE

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of ratings, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on [www.ed.sc.gov](http://www.ed.sc.gov) and [www.eoc.sc.gov](http://www.eoc.sc.gov) as well as school and school district websites. Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.

YEAR	ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING	PALMETTO GOLD AND SILVER AWARD		ESEA/FEDERAL ACCOUNTABILITY RATING SYSTEM	
			General Performance	Closing the Gap	ESEA Grade	Accountability Indicator
2013	Average	Good	N/A	N/A	B	N/A
2012	Average	Excellent	N/A	N/A	B	N/A
2011	Below Average	Average	N/A	N/A	Not Met	CA

## ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF DISTRICTS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS\*

EXCELLENT	GOOD	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	AT-RISK
9	9	10	0	0

\* Ratings are calculated with data available by 01/16/2014. Districts with Students Like Ours are Districts with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this district.

### PASS

PASS	2013 Reading	2013 Math	2013 Science	2013 Social Studies	2013 Writing
	% Met or above	% Met or above	% Met or above	% Met or above	% Met or above
District	73.3%	68.9%	70.8%	75.5%	70.5%
Districts with Students Like Ours**	74.6%	71.3%	70.7%	73.4%	71.8%
Average District	76.9%	73.4%	73.0%	75.7%	74.5%

PASS	2013 Reading	2013 Math	2013 Science	2013 Social Studies	2013 Writing
	% Exemplary	% Exemplary	% Exemplary	% Exemplary	% Exemplary
District	38.9%	32.9%	22.9%	37.7%	28.9%
Districts with Students Like Ours**	38.2%	32.8%	21.9%	33.8%	29.8%
Average District	41.7%	35.6%	23.9%	36.9%	33.8%

### HSAP

HSAP: 2nd Year Students	Passed 2 Subtests (%)	Passed 1 Subtest (%)	Passed No Subtests (%)
District	79.8%	11.1%	9.1%
Districts with Students Like Ours**	79.6%	11.7%	8.7%

### HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2013 (%)

District	90.6%
Districts with Students Like Ours**	91.7%

### End of Course Test Passage Rate (%)

District	73.2%
Districts with Students Like Ours**	70.9%


### On-Time Graduation Rate (%)

District	75.5%
Districts with Students Like Ours**	79.2%

\*\* Districts with Students Like Ours are districts with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this district.

### NAEP\*

\* Performance reported for SC and nation, data not available at school level. Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels.



### SC PERFORMANCE VISION

*By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.*

#### Abbreviations Key

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample TBD To be determined

# Lexington 2 School District

## DISTRICT PROFILE

	Our District	Change from Last Year	Districts with Students Like Ours	Median District
<b>Students (n=8,538)</b>				
Retention rate	1.4%	Up from 1.3%	2.3%	1.9%
Attendance rate	96.7%	Down from 96.9%	95.8%	95.8%
Served by gifted and talented program	17.1%	N/A	13.0%	12.0%
With disabilities	14.4%	N/A	14.2%	12.7%
Older than usual for grade	2.9%	N/A	5.0%	4.8%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	1.2%	Up from 0.8%	0.6%	0.7%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	36.9%	Up from 22.8%	12.3%	14.0%
Successful on AP/IB exams	28.1%	Up from 21.7%	50.0%	50.5%
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship	29.9%	Down from 30.4%	34.6%	31.8%
Enrolled in adult education GED or diploma programs	96	Down from 151	56	50
Completions in adult education GED or diploma programs	85	Down from 93	39	37
Annual dropout rate	2.1%	Down from 4.7%	2.3%	2.3%
<b>Teachers (n=598)</b>				
Teachers with advanced degrees	65.7%	Down from 67.1%	63.4%	61.4%
Continuing contract teachers	83.9%	Down from 88.4%	84.3%	80.0%
Teachers returning from previous year	91.3%	Up from 90.9%	91.2%	90.4%
Teacher attendance rate	94.3%	Down from 94.8%	94.9%	94.8%
Average teacher salary*	\$49,206	Up 2.2%	\$48,260	\$47,587
Vacancies for more than nine weeks	0.2%	Down from 0.3%	0.2%	0.2%
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	2.7%	Down from 3.8%	1.7%	1.8%
Professional development days/teacher	14.7 days	Down from 15.5 days	10.8 days	10.3 days
<b>District</b>				
Superintendent's years at district	5.0	Up from 4.0	4.3	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	20.8 to 1	Down from 21.9 to 1	22.0 to 1	22.2 to 1
Prime instructional time	90.0%	Down from 90.8%	89.2%	89.4%
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$9,138	Up 0.0%	\$9,082	\$9,101
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	55.0%	Down from 56.6%	52.0%	52.0%
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	58.0%	Down from 58.9%	55.0%	56.0%
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	No Change	Excellent	Excellent
Number of schools	15	No Change	11	11
Portable classrooms	1.2%	Down from 25.0%	0.6%	0.9%
Number of schools with SACS accreditation	15.0	No Change	11.0	10.0
Parents attending conferences	100.0%	Up from 97.1%	100.0%	100.0%
Average administrator salary	\$84,304	Up 0.0%	\$79,297	\$79,389
Number of charter schools	0	No Change	0	0
ESEA composite index score	81.7	Down from 85.2	78.5	81.0

\* Length of contract = 185+ days.

\*\* Prior year audited financial data available.

## RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT FACILITIES STUDENT PERFORMANCE

	PASS	HSAP	End-of-Course Tests
Passage Rate	N/A	N/A	N/A

## CHARTER SCHOOLS IN DISTRICT

School Name	Absolute Rating	Growth Rating	ESEA Grade
There are no charter schools in this district.			

## REPORT OF SUPERINTENDENT

During the 2012/13 school year, Lexington School District Two completed the extensive preparations for an External Review by AdvancED, a worldwide accreditation organization recognized for quality standards which have been proven to effectively drive student performance and continuous improvement in education institutions. As a result of the External Review, the District was awarded continuing accreditation with high marks. Moreover, the District received a "Promising Practice" commendation for our Cohort Professional Staff Development Model.

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of ratings, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on [www.ed.sc.gov](http://www.ed.sc.gov) and [www.eoc.sc.gov](http://www.eoc.sc.gov) as well as school and school district websites.

Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.

### Abbreviations Key

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample TBD To be determined

NI Newly Identified CDI Continuing District Improvement CA Corrective Action RP Plan to Restructure R Restructure DELAY District Improvement Status HOLD District Improvement Status