

A vertical black and white photograph on the left side of the page. It shows a palm tree in the foreground, a crescent moon in the sky, and a faint outline of the state of South Carolina in the background.

Stiles Point Elementary

883-A Mikell Drive
Charleston, SC 29412

Grades	PK-5 Elementary School	
Enrollment	705 Students	
Principal	Stephen D. Burger	843-762-2767
Superintendent	Dr. Nancy J. McGinley	843-937-6319
Board Chair	Mr. Chris Fraser	843-725-7200

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA 2012 ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD

YEAR	ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING
2012	Excellent	Excellent
2011	Excellent	Excellent
2010	Excellent	Excellent
2009	Excellent	Excellent
2008	Excellent	Good

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent – School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good – School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average – School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average – School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk – School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

<http://ed.sc.gov>
<http://www.eoc.sc.gov>

Percent of Student PASS Records Matched for Purpose of Computing Growth Rating


Percent of students tested in 2011-12 whose 2010-11 test scores were located | 95.4%

ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS*

Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	At-Risk
24	0	0	0	0

* Ratings are calculated with data available by 11/07/2012.

Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS)



* Elementary schools with Students Like Ours are elementary schools with poverty indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for the school.

Definition of Critical Terms

Exemplary	"Exemplary" means the student demonstrated exemplary performance in meeting the grade level standard.
Met	"Met" means the student met the grade level standard.
Not Met	"Not Met" means that the student did not meet the grade level standard.

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A–Not Applicable N/AV–Not Available N/C–Not Collected N/R–Not Reported I/S–Insufficient Sample

School Profile

	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n=705)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	91.7%	Up from 87.2%	100.0%	100.0%
Retention rate	0.7%	Down from 0.9%	0.7%	1.0%
Attendance rate	97.1%	Up from 96.5%	97.3%	96.6%
Served by gifted and talented program	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
With disabilities other than speech	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Older than usual for grade	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	0.0%	No Change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n=40)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	67.5%	Up from 64.1%	66.4%	63.0%
Continuing contract teachers	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Teachers returning from previous year	94.8%	Up from 93.9%	90.6%	88.7%
Teacher attendance rate	98.3%	Up from 96.4%	95.0%	95.1%
Average teacher salary*	\$49,992	Up 1.2%	\$47,940	\$47,210
Professional development days/teacher	8.9 days	Up from 5.8 days	11.0 days	10.5 days
School				
Principal's years at school	16.0	Up from 15.0	4.5	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	22.9 to 1	Up from 22.1 to 1	21.4 to 1	20.0 to 1
Prime instructional time	95.2%	Up from 92.7%	91.7%	90.5%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No Change	Good	Good
SACS accreditation	No	No Change	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	100.0%	No Change	100.0%	100.0%
Character development program	Excellent	No Change	Excellent	Excellent
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$6,250	Up 1.6%	\$6,399	\$7,247
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	70.9%	Down from 73.5%	68.6%	68.2%
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	69.4%	Down from 71.8%	67.5%	65.7%

* Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

** Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A–Not Applicable N/AV–Not Available N/C–Not Collected N/R–Not Reported I/S–Insufficient Sample

It is an exciting time to be at Stiles Point Elementary. We moved into a totally new building during the summer of 2010! What a beautiful new building! Stiles Point Elementary is a neighborhood school featuring an experienced staff with a supportive community, an involved PTA, and eager volunteers. We have high expectations and excellent academic and citizenship programs. We have achieved many awards (e.g., The Community of Readers Award, Exemplary Writing Award, Charleston County Recycling Award, etc.). But we face many challenges ahead as we develop students' academic and citizenship proficiencies as related to the state standards. We are developing our math program to support students' problem-solving strategies in context, use of manipulatives and technology, and increasing their PASS English Language Arts, math, science, and social studies achievement levels. There are now higher expectations about what is taught and when it is taught (e.g., what was taught only in first grade is now taught in kindergarten). Teachers are expected to work together to plan, implement, teach, and assess the students by using a coherent curriculum with the state standards.

Expectations continue to increase with the implementation of state standards in all subjects. The push for more one-on-one instruction by the teachers (but without reduced class size) is definitely impacting the school. Our teachers are expected to teach more, with greater variety, and with higher-level thinking skills. In grades K-2, teachers use the AimsWEB instrument as an efficient measure of the fundamental skills which underpin the development of literacy in young children. With the implementation of Measures of Academic Progress (MAP) in grades K-5, the teachers receive immediate feedback about the needs of their students. This feedback allows the teachers to plan remediation and/or enrichment activities for the students. The education of our children still remains our highest priority.

With a new building came new equipment and furniture. For once, all teachers have new computers, Smartboards, and other instructional equipment. Instruction should continue to improve. We must meet the continuing challenge to provide the best instruction and materials for our future leaders of a competitive global economy.

Stephen D. Burger, Principal

Andrea Hanlon, SIC Chairperson

	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	32	106	96
Percent satisfied with learning environment	93.5%	92.4%	93.4%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	93.8%	94.3%	96.8%
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	96.9%	93.3%	91.5%

* Only students at the highest elementary school grade level and their parents were included.

Abbreviations for Missing Data

ESEA/Federal Accountability Rating System

In July 2012, the South Carolina Department of Education was granted a waiver from several accountability requirements of the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA). This waiver allowed SC to replace the former pass/fail system with one that utilizes more of the statewide assessments already in place and combine these subject area results with graduation rate (in high schools) to determine if each school met the target or made progress toward the target. This analysis results in a letter grade for the school rather than the pass/fail system of previous years. For a detailed review of the matrix for each school and districts that determined the letter grade, please use the following link: <http://ed.sc.gov/data/eSEA/> or request this information from your child's district or school.

Overall Weighted Points Total	98.5
Overall Grade Conversion	A

Index Score	Grade	Description
90-100	A	Performance substantially exceeds the state's expectations.
80-89.9	B	Performance exceeds the state's expectations.
70-79.9	C	Performance meets the state's expectations.
60-69.9	D	Performance does not meet the state's expectations.
Less than 60	F	Performance is substantially below the state's expectations

Accountability Indicator for Title I Schools

Stiles Point Elementary school has been designated as a:

- ☐ Title I Reward School for Performance - among the highest performing Title I schools in a given year.
- ☐ Title I Reward School for Progress – one of the schools with substantial progress in student subgroups.
- ☐ Title I Focus School – one of the schools with the highest average performance gap between subgroups.
- ☐ Title I Priority School – one of the 5% lowest performing Title I schools.
- ☐ Title I School – does not qualify as Reward, Focus or Priority School.
- ☒ Non-Title I School – therefore the designations above are not applicable.

Teacher Quality and Student Attendance

	Our District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	4.3%	2.6%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	8.3%	5.1%

	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.0%	0.0%	Yes
Student attendance rate	97.1%	94.0%*	Yes

* Or greater than last year

Performance By Group

Subgroups	ELA Mean	Math Mean	Science Mean	Social Studies Mean	ELA % Tested	Math % Tested
-----------	----------	-----------	--------------	---------------------	--------------	---------------

Grades 3-5

All Students	694.3	693.0	647.7	672.6	100.0	100.0
Male	687.3	693.6	648.1	675.9	100.0	100.0
Female	702.1	692.4	647.3	669.1	100.0	100.0
White	701.8	699.5	652.7	678.2	100.0	100.0
African American	642.9	639.8	606.0	623.3	100.0	100.0
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Subsidized meals	655.3	653.1	617.9	637.0	100.0	100.0
Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)	630.0	630.0	630.0	630.0	95.0	95.0

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A–Not Applicable N/AV–Not Available N/C–Not Collected N/R–Not Reported I/S–Insufficient Sample

PASS Performance By Grade Level

	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary
--	-------	----------------------------------	----------	-----------	-------	-------------	-----------------------

English/Language Arts

2011	3	104	99	5	19	76	95
	4	109	100	11.2	28	60.7	88.8
	5	108	100	5.7	39.6	54.7	94.3
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2012	3	138	100	5.9	7.4	86.8	94.1
	4	111	100	7.5	33.6	58.9	92.5
	5	114	100	14.4	36	49.5	85.6
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Mathematics

2011	3	104	100	9.9	24.8	65.3	90.1
	4	109	100	9.3	28	62.6	90.7
	5	108	100	8.5	34	57.5	91.5
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2012	3	138	100	5.9	11.8	82.4	94.1
	4	111	100	6.5	27.1	66.4	93.5
	5	114	100	10.8	40.5	48.6	89.2
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Science

2011	3	52	100	16	38	46	84
	4	109	100	17.8	56.1	26.2	82.2
	5	53	98.1	19.2	50	30.8	80.8
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2012	3	70	100	14.7	26.5	58.8	85.3
	4	111	100	15.9	61.7	22.4	84.1
	5	58	100	25	51.8	23.2	75
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A–Not Applicable

N/AV–Not Available

N/C–Not Collected

N/R–Not Reported

I/S–Insufficient Sample

PASS Performance By Grade Level

	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary
Social Studies							
2011	3	52	98.1	5.9	25.5	68.6	94.1
	4	109	100	15.9	42.1	42.1	84.1
	5	55	100	9.3	24.1	66.7	90.7
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2012	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3	68	100	2.9	23.5	73.5	97.1
	4	111	100	12.1	33.6	54.2	87.9
	5	56	100	23.6	23.6	52.7	76.4
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2012	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Writing							
2011	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	5	109	98.2	7.6	25.7	66.7	92.4
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2012	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	5	114	98.3	11.9	39.4	48.6	88.1
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2012	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A–Not Applicable N/AV–Not Available N/C–Not Collected N/R–Not Reported I/S–Insufficient Sample