



# SC Annual School District Report Card Summary

Greenwood County School District 52 School District  
 Grades: PK-12 Enrollment: 1,712  
 Superintendent: Dr. Mark Petersen  
 Board Chair: Mr. Sam Corley

## PERFORMANCE

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of ratings, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on [www.ed.sc.gov](http://www.ed.sc.gov) and [www.eoc.sc.gov](http://www.eoc.sc.gov) as well as school and school district websites. Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.

YEAR	ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING	PALMETTO GOLD AND SILVER AWARD		ESEA/FEDERAL ACCOUNTABILITY RATING SYSTEM	
			General Performance	Closing the Gap	ESEA Grade	Accountability Indicator
2012	Excellent	Good	N/A	N/A	B	N/A
2011	Excellent	Good	N/A	N/A	Not Met	N/A
2010	Excellent	Excellent	N/A	N/A	Met	N/A

## ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF DISTRICTS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS\*

EXCELLENT	GOOD	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	AT-RISK
11	6	3	0	1

\* Ratings are calculated with data available by 11/07/2012. Districts with Students Like Ours are Districts with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this district.

## PASS

PASS	2012 Reading	2012 Math	2012 Science	2012 Social Studies	2012 Writing
	% Met or above	% Met or above	% Met or above	% Met or above	% Met or above
District	80.7%	81.7%	81.7%	79.3%	80.6%
Districts with Students Like Ours**	76.7%	75.6%	73.4%	75.1%	74.9%
Average District	74.9%	74.3%	72.1%	74.8%	74.0%

PASS	2012 Reading	2012 Math	2012 Science	2012 Social Studies	2012 Writing
	% Exemplary	% Exemplary	% Exemplary	% Exemplary	% Exemplary
District	47.4%	41.8%	28.0%	33.0%	45.0%
Districts with Students Like Ours**	43.9%	36.0%	24.4%	32.9%	37.3%
Average District	42.7%	36.3%	24.8%	34.6%	37.3%

## HSAP

HSAP: 2nd Year Students	Passed 2 Subtests (%)	Passed 1 Subtest (%)	Passed No Subtests (%)
District	84.2%	13.0%	2.9%
Districts with Students Like Ours**	81.9%	11.5%	7.0%

## HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2012 (%)

District	98.1%
Districts with Students Like Ours**	92.1%

## End of Course Test Passage Rate (%)

District	73.1%
Districts with Students Like Ours**	74.4%




## On-Time Graduation Rate (%)

District	84.6%
Districts with Students Like Ours**	76.0%

\*\* Districts with Students Like Ours are districts with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this district.

## NAEP\*

\* Performance reported for SC and nation, data not available at school level. Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels.



## SC PERFORMANCE VISION

*By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.*

### Abbreviations Key

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample TBD To be determined

# Greenwood County School District 52 School District

## DISTRICT PROFILE

	Our District	Change from Last Year	Districts with Students Like Ours	Median District
<b>Students (n=1,712)</b>				
Retention rate	1.3%	Down from 2.6%	1.6%	2.0%
Attendance rate	96.5%	Down from 97.4%	96.1%	96.1%
Served by gifted and talented program	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
With disabilities other than speech	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Older than usual for grade	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	0.8%	Up from 0.3%	0.6%	0.6%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	20.3%	Up from 17.8%	17.4%	13.5%
Successful on AP/IB exams	45.2%	Up from 27.9%	48.8%	49.9%
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship	28.2%	Down from 30.5%	34.2%	30.3%
Enrolled in adult education GED or diploma programs	1	Down from 3	61	59
Completions in adult education GED or diploma programs	1	Up from 0	41	31
Annual dropout rate	2.9%	Up from 2.7%	2.8%	2.7%
<b>Teachers (n=105)</b>				
Teachers with advanced degrees	58.1%	Up from 54.7%	62.9%	62.4%
Continuing contract teachers	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Teachers returning from previous year	97.6%	Up from 95.8%	92.4%	91.1%
Teacher attendance rate	95.3%	Down from 96.3%	95.2%	95.1%
Average teacher salary*	\$47,850	Up 0.6%	\$47,850	\$46,595
Vacancies for more than nine weeks	0.0%	No Change	0.0%	0.1%
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	1.2%	Up from 0.2%	1.8%	2.1%
Professional development days/teacher	12.7 days	Up from 12.2 days	12.3 days	12.4 days
<b>District</b>				
Superintendent's years at district	3.0	Up from 2.0	5.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	23.7 to 1	Up from 22.5 to 1	22.8 to 1	21.7 to 1
Prime instructional time	90.5%	Down from 92.9%	90.3%	89.9%
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$8,870	Up 1.4%	\$8,167	\$8,866
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	52.8%	Down from 53.0%	55.7%	53.1%
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	56.7%	Down from 57.3%	58.4%	55.9%
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	No Change	Excellent	Excellent
Number of schools	4	No Change	14	9
Portable classrooms	24.6%	Up from 21.2%	1.9%	1.4%
Number of schools with SACS accreditation	4.0	No Change	14.0	8.0
Parents attending conferences	98.0%	Down from 99.5%	100.0%	99.5%
Average administrator salary	\$71,030	Up 2.0%	\$79,028	\$77,744
Number of charter schools	0	No Change	0	0
ESEA composite index score	88.0	N/A	89.9	85.6

\* Length of contract = 185+ days.

\*\* Prior year audited financial data available.

## RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT FACILITIES STUDENT PERFORMANCE

	PASS	HSAP	End-of-Course Tests
Passage Rate	N/A	N/A	N/A

## CHARTER SCHOOLS IN DISTRICT

School Name	Absolute Rating	Growth Rating	ESEA Grade
There are no charter schools in this district.			

## REPORT OF SUPERINTENDENT

Our supportive community takes pride in the progress our schools have made. Much of our success must be attributed to the community and the active role that they and our parents take in our schools. Ninety Six is a special place, and we are fortunate to have a close relationship between the schools and the community. We are proud of the accomplishments of our schools, students, and faculty in the Ninety Six School District.

The staff (faculty and staff) work diligently to provide meaningful educational experiences for our students. Our staff continues to provide a quality educational and extracurricular program for our students. Academically, our scores continue to improve in all of our schools. Three out of four of our schools made Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) this year and two of our schools were named PALMETTO GOLD schools. One was named PALMETTO SILVER. Ninety Six High School was named a BRONZE winner in the US NEWS AND WORLD REPORT as one of the best high schools in the nation. Additionally, our primary school was authorized as an International Baccalaureate Program me World School!

Our district was recommended for District Accreditation by AdvancED after an 18 month strategic planning effort that included employees, community, parents, and students. Additionally, we have employed the use of balanced scorecards to keep a tab on performance measures that are a priority with the district plan.

Our new 29 million dollar combination middle/high school complex is nearly complete and we anticipate occupying the buildings in a few short weeks, ready to begin school.

We appreciate the confidence the community showed us in approving this project.

Dr. Mark Petersen Superintendent

Dr. Mark Petersen Superintendent

Dr. Mark Petersen Superintendent

Dr. Mark Petersen Superintendent

Dr. Mark Petersen Superintendent

Dr. Mark Petersen Superintendent

Dr. Mark Petersen Superintendent

Dr. Mark Petersen Superintendent

Dr. Mark Petersen Superintendent

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of ratings, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on [www.ed.sc.gov](http://www.ed.sc.gov) and [www.eoc.sc.gov](http://www.eoc.sc.gov) as well as school and school district websites.

Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.

### Abbreviations Key

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample TBD To be determined

NI Newly Identified CDI Continuing District Improvement CA Corrective Action RP Plan to Restructure R Restructure DELAY District Improvement Status HOLD District Improvement Status