



# SC Annual School Report Card Summary

**LONGLEAF MIDDLE**  
**Richland 2**  
**Grades: 6-8** Enrollment: 583  
**Principal: Ms. Katinia Davis**  
**Superintendent: Katie Brochu, Ed.D.**  
**Board Chair: Stephanie Burgess, Ph.D.**

## PERFORMANCE

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of ratings, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on [www.ed.sc.gov](http://www.ed.sc.gov) and [www.eoc.sc.gov](http://www.eoc.sc.gov) as well as school and school district websites. Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.

YEAR	ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING	PALMETTO GOLD AND SILVER AWARD		AYP STATUS	NCLB IMPROVEMENT STATUS
			General Performance	Closing the Gap		
2011	Average	Average	TBD	TBD	Not Met	N/A
2010	Average	Average	N/A	N/A	Met	N/A
2009	Average	Average	N/A	N/A	Not Met	N/A






## ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF MIDDLE SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS\*

EXCELLENT	GOOD	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	AT-RISK
3	20	25	0	1

\* Ratings are calculated with data available by 11/09/2011. Schools with Students Like Ours are Middle Schools with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this school.




## PASS PERFORMANCE

Our School Middle Schools with Students Like Ours Middle schools statewide



## NAEP PERFORMANCE\*

\* Performance reported for SC and nation, data not available at school level. Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels.



## END OF COURSE TESTS - 2011

% of students scoring 70 or above on:	Our Middle School	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	92.5	98.1
English 1	N/A	92.3
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	N/A	N/A
Physical Science	N/A	31.7
US History and the Constitution	N/A	N/A
All Subjects	92.5	95.8

## SC PERFORMANCE VISION

*By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.*

### Abbreviations Key

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample TBD To be determined  
 NI Newly Identified CSI Continuing School Improvement CA Corrective Action RP Plan to Restructure R Restructure DELAY School Improvement Status HOLD School Improvement Status

# LONGLEAF MIDDLE [Richland 2]

## SCHOOL PROFILE

## REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
<b>Students (n=583)</b>				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	N/R	N/R	30.8%	24.5%
Retention rate	1.4%	Up from 0.0%	0.6%	0.7%
Attendance rate	97.4%	Up from 97.0%	96.1%	95.9%
Served by gifted and talented program	6.5%	Up from 5.4%	21.1%	17.8%
With disabilities other than speech	8.7%	Down from 12.1%	8.9%	9.2%
Older than usual for grade	0.9%	Down from 1.2%	1.2%	1.5%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	N/R	N/R	0.7%	0.4%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No Change	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Teachers (n=43)</b>				
Teachers with advanced degrees	55.8%	Down from 57.8%	61.0%	60.0%
Continuing contract teachers	72.1%	Up from 68.9%	82.8%	82.6%
Teachers returning from previous year	80.0%	N/A	85.6%	85.6%
Teacher attendance rate	92.3%	Down from 94.9%	95.5%	95.3%
Average teacher salary*	\$46,322	Down 1.0%	\$46,642	\$46,300
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	3.4%	Up from 0.0%	0.0%	1.2%
Professional development days/teacher	N/R	N/R	9.7 days	9.9 days
<b>School</b>				
Principal's years at school	N/R	N/R	4.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	N/R	N/R	23.1 to 1	21.5 to 1
Prime instructional time	89.0%	Down from 90.6%	90.3%	90.1%
Opportunities in the arts	Poor	Down from Good	Good	Good
SACS accreditation	No	Down from Yes	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	N/R	N/R	99.5%	98.1%
Character development program	N/R	N/R	Good	Good
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$9,302	Down 1.8%	\$7,318	\$7,634
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	66.4%	Up from 65.3%	64.5%	64.0%
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	64.0%	Up from 61.5%	62.1%	61.2%
% of AYP objectives met	81.0%	Down from 100.0%	81.0%	80.5%

\* Length of contract = 185+ days.

\*\* Prior year audited financial data available.

## EVALUATION RESULTS

	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	32	125	38
Percent satisfied with learning environment	50.0%	52.0%	83.3%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	68.8%	58.1%	75.0%
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	53.1%	73.2%	77.1%

\*Only students at the highest middle school grade level at this school and their parents were included.

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of ratings, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on [www.ed.sc.gov](http://www.ed.sc.gov) and [www.eoc.sc.gov](http://www.eoc.sc.gov) as well as school and school district websites.

Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.

### Abbreviations Key

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample TBD To be determined

NI Newly Identified CSI Continuing School Improvement CA Corrective Action RP Plan to Restructure R Restructure DELAY School Improvement Status HOLD School Improvement Status