

SC Annual School Report Card Summary

Charleston Progressive
Charleston
Grades: K-8 Enrollment: 254
Principal: Wanda Wright-Sheats
Superintendent: Dr. Nancy J. McGinley
Board Chair: Mrs. Toya Hampton Green

PERFORMANCE

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of ratings, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on www.ed.sc.gov and www.eoc.sc.gov as well as school and school district websites. Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.

YEAR	ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING	PALMETTO GOLD AND SILVER AWARD		AYP STATUS	NCLB IMPROVEMENT STATUS
			General Performance	Closing the Gap		
2009	Below Average	Average	TBD	TBD	Met	NI-DELAY
2008	At-Risk	Below Average	N/A	N/A	Not Met	NI
2007	At-Risk	At-Risk	N/A	N/A	Not Met	N/A






ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF MIDDLE SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS*

EXCELLENT	GOOD	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	AT-RISK
0	0	6	38	23

* Ratings are calculated with data available by 06/01/2010. Schools with Students Like Ours are Middle Schools with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this school.

PASS PERFORMANCE

Our School Middle Schools with Students Like Ours Middle schools statewide



NAEP PERFORMANCE*

* Performance reported for SC and nation, data not available at school level. Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels.

READING - GRADE 8 (2007)			
South Carolina	31	44	23
Nation	27	43	27
% Below Basic % Basic, Proficient, and Advanced			
	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient

MATH - GRADE 8 (2007)			
South Carolina	29	39	24
Nation	30	39	24
% Below Basic % Basic, Proficient, and Advanced			
	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient

SCIENCE - GRADE 8 (2005)			
South Carolina	46	31	21
Nation	43	30	24
% Below Basic % Basic, Proficient, and Advanced			
	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient

END OF COURSE TESTS - 2009

% of students scoring 70 or above on:	Our Middle School	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	66.7	87.8
English 1	N/A	84.2
Physical Science	N/A	33.8
US History and the Constitution	N/A	N/A
All Subjects	66.7	85.9

SC PERFORMANCE GOAL

2010 Goal:

By 2010, SC's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

2020 Vision:

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

Abbreviations Key

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample TBD To be determined

NI Newly Identified CSI Continuing School Improvement CA Corrective Action RP Plan to Restructure R Restructure DELAY School Improvement Status HOLD School Improvement Status

Charleston Progressive [Charleston]

SCHOOL PROFILE

	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n=254)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	26.5%	Down from 31.3%	15.6%	21.6%
Retention rate	0.4%	Down from 1.4%	2.3%	1.2%
Attendance rate	96.5%	Down from 96.7%	95.5%	95.9%
Eligible for gifted and talented	10.3%	Up from 7.7%	5.6%	14.8%
With disabilities other than speech	6.3%	No Change	14.1%	12.6%
Older than usual for grade	0.0%	Down from 0.4%	5.0%	2.5%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	3.5%	Down from 5.5%	0.3%	0.6%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No Change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n=24)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	50.0%	Down from 53.6%	54.3%	56.9%
Continuing contract teachers	87.5%	Up from 64.3%	62.2%	72.7%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	4.3%	Down from 7.7%	14.4%	5.3%
Teachers returning from previous year	86.7%	Up from 84.2%	76.3%	82.9%
Teacher attendance rate	93.4%	Down from 95.2%	94.8%	95.2%
Average teacher salary*	\$49,097	Up 1.0%	\$44,507	\$46,599
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	6.2%	Up from 2.3%	6.6%	2.4%
Professional development days/teacher	8.7 days	Down from 16.5 days	10.3 days	10.8 days
School				
Principal's years at school	4.0	Up from 3.0	2.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	15.5 to 1	Up from 13.9 to 1	16.8 to 1	20.1 to 1
Prime instructional time	89.3%	Down from 91.2%	89.1%	89.9%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No Change	Good	Good
SACS accreditation	No	No Change	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	99.5%	Down from 100.0%	95.7%	97.8%
Character development program	Excellent	Up from Good	Good	Good
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$10,772	Up 31.3%	\$9,939	\$7,645
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	66.8%	Down from 71.6%	60.3%	63.4%
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	59.8%	Down from 65.0%	53.3%	57.0%
% of AYP objectives met	100.0%	Up from 76.9%	88.2%	90.5%

* Length of contract = 185+ days.

** Prior year audited financial data available.

EVALUATION RESULTS

	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	21	38	22
Percent satisfied with learning environment	100.0%	78.9%	72.7%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	78.9%	68.2%
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	95.0%	84.2%	63.6%

*Only students at the highest middle school grade level at this school and their parents were included.

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Charleston Progressive Academy (CPA), a Title I countywide magnet school has gone through a major transition this school year. For the 2009-2010 school year our grade configuration is CD/4K – 6.

We are delighted that our rating on the 2008 elementary school report card returned to the average rating. Even though the middle school was rated as at-risk on the 2008 report card, the absolute rating increased by two tenths of a point. This was reflected in the increase of the improvement rating to below average. To meet the learning needs and many levels of our students, we continued RIT/Study skills learning groups. On the middle school level, students attended daily study skills classes. Other interventions that we used to address students' needs were Successmaker, Accelerator Reading and Math and ReadAbout, which are all computer based instruction. After school programs include Kaleidoscope and 21st Century. At CPA eighth grade students were able to take Algebra I, Spanish I, and Keyboarding for high school credits.

Students received several academic accolades based upon training and instruction received. They include: first and second place Optimist Oratorical Winners, National Pan-Hellenic Council Oratorical Winners, recognition of 31 families for the Community of Readers, first place in the Entrepreneur Competition, and basketball and track competitions.

It is our mission to empower our students to become productive and responsible citizens and leaders by providing each child with an engaging academic curriculum and enriching individualized learning experiences in a safe, student-centered learning environment with continuous support we still maintain some challenges.

Our greatest challenge still remains improving our stagnant elementary report card's at-risk improvement rating. A lead teacher provides teachers with systematic professional development, in-services on data analysis and best practices in instruction and assessment to create an atmosphere of academic excellence as we look forward to the 2009-2010 school year. We are excited about our newly acquired CD/4 program and are looking forward to building a strong academic foundation in our early childhood students.

Wanda Wright-Sheats, Principal
Lonnie Hamilton III, SIC Chair

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of ratings, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on www.ed.sc.gov and www.eoc.sc.gov as well as school and school district websites.

Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.

Abbreviations Key

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample TBD To be determined
NI Newly Identified CSI Continuing School Improvement CA Corrective Action RP Plan to Restructure R Restructure DELAY School Improvement Status HOLD School Improvement Status