
ESEA Flexibility

Renewal Form

SOUTH CAROLINA

November 13, 2014

OMB Number: 1810-0581

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 16 hours per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. The obligation to respond to this collection is required to retain the benefits of ESEA flexibility, offered to State educational agencies under section 9401 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, as amended, and voluntary. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Ave., SW, Washington, DC 20210-4537 or email ICDocketMgr@ed.gov and reference the OMB Control Number 1810-0581. Note: Please do not return the completed ESEA Flexibility Renewal Request Form to this address.

CONSULTATION

An SEA must provide a description of how it meaningfully solicited input on the implementation of ESEA flexibility, and the changes that it made to its currently approved ESEA flexibility request in order to seek renewal, from LEAs, teachers and their representatives, administrators, students, parents, community-based organizations, civil rights organizations, organizations representing students with disabilities, organizations representing English Learners, business organizations, institutions of higher education (IHEs) and Indian tribes.

Pages 12 and 15

SECTION II: CONTINUED COMMITMENT TO AND PROGRESS TOWARDS ESEA FLEXIBILITY PRINCIPLES

An SEA must provide a narrative response updating the SEA's currently approved ESEA flexibility request to address each of the items under Section II. Specifically, an SEA must address each of the Principles as described below through at least the end of the 2017–2018 school year (an SEA that is eligible for and requests a four-year renewal must address each of the Principles as described below through at least the end of the 2018–2019 school year).

For each of the following items, an SEA should make revisions in a redline version of its currently approved ESEA flexibility request, and indicate in the text boxes on this form the pages where relevant changes have been made. To the extent that an SEA has sufficiently addressed any requirement in its currently approved request, the SEA may reference the relevant pages and existing text in its approved request in response to that requirement.

Principle 1: College and Career-Ready Expectations for All Students

In its request for renewal of ESEA flexibility, each SEA must update its currently approved ESEA flexibility request to describe how it will continue to ensure all students graduate from high school ready for college and a career, through implementation of college- and career-ready standards and high-quality aligned assessments (general, alternate, and English language proficiency), including how the SEA will continue to support all students, including English Learners, students with disabilities, low-achieving students, and economically disadvantaged students, and teachers of those students.

Pages 27, 28, 30, 32, 33, 36, 38, 41, 43–46, 50, 52–58, 61, 63–65, and 67–70

Principle 2: State-Developed Systems of Differentiated Recognition, Accountability, and Support

Each SEA must provide narrative responses for each of the items enumerated below. In providing these narrative responses, each SEA must describe its process for continuous improvement of its systems and processes supporting implementation of its system of differentiated recognition, accountability, and support. In describing its process for continuous improvement, an SEA should consider how it will use systematic strategies to analyze data and revise approaches to address implementation challenges in order to ensure that it and its LEAs are meeting the needs of all students.

2.A. Develop and Implement a State-Based System of Differentiated Recognition, Accountability, and Support: In its request for renewal of ESEA flexibility, each SEA must demonstrate that a school may not receive the highest rating in the SEA's differentiated recognition, accountability, and support system if there are significant achievement or graduation rate gaps across subgroups that are not closing in the school.

Page 76

2.D. Priority Schools: In its request for renewal of ESEA flexibility, each SEA must:

- a) Submit either (i) its updated list of priority schools based on the most recent available data, for implementation beginning in the 2015–2016 school year, or (ii) an assurance that it will provide an updated list of priority schools based on school year 2014–2015 data no later than January 31, 2016, for implementation beginning no later than the 2016–2017 school year;
- b) Provide its timeline for implementation of interventions aligned with all of the turnaround principles in all priority schools; and
- c) Describe its process for identifying any schools that, after implementing interventions for three school years, have not made sufficient progress to exit priority status and describe how the SEA will ensure increased rigor of interventions and supports in these schools by the start of the 2015-2016 school year.

- a) Page 123;
- b) Page 129–130; and
- c) Pages 126–127.

2.E. Focus Schools: In its request for renewal of ESEA flexibility, each SEA must:

- a) Submit either (i) its updated list of focus schools based on the most recent available data, for implementation beginning in the 2015–2016 school year, or (ii) an assurance that it will provide an updated list of focus schools based on school year 2014–2015 data no later than January 31, 2016, for implementation beginning no later than the 2016–2017 school year;
- b) Provide its process, including a timeline, for ensuring that its LEAs implement interventions targeted to a focus school's reason for identification; and
- c) Describe its process for identifying any schools that have not made sufficient progress to exit focus status and describe how the SEA will ensure increased rigor of interventions and supports in these schools by the start of the 2015-2016 school year.

- a) Page 134;
- b) Pages 136–138; and
- c) Pages 133–135.

2.F. Other Title I Schools: In its renewal request, each SEA must update its plan for providing incentives and supports to other Title I schools to include a clear and rigorous process for ensuring that LEAs provide interventions and supports for low-achieving students in those

schools when one or more subgroups miss either AMOs or graduation rate targets or both over a number of years.

Pages 139–141

2.G. Build SEA, LEA, and School Capacity to Improve Student Outcomes: In its request for renewal of ESEA flexibility, each SEA must describe its statewide strategy to support and monitor LEA implementation of the State’s system of differentiated recognition, accountability, and support. This description must include the SEA’s process for holding LEAs accountable for improving school and student performance.

Page 142

Principle 3: Supporting Effective Instruction and Leadership

An SEA that checked option C under assurance 15 must provide a narrative response to this item detailing:

- a) The progress made to date in ensuring that each LEA is on track to implement high-quality teacher and principal evaluation and support systems designed to support educators and improve instruction;
- b) The proposed change(s) and the SEA’s rationale for each change; and
- c) The steps the SEA will take to ensure continuous improvement of evaluation and support systems that result in instructional improvement and increased student learning.

- a) Pages 144–155 and 177–182;
- b) Pages 146–149, 155–157, and 164–170; and
- c) Pages 176–184.