

**STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

**MOLLY M. SPEARMAN**  
*STATE SUPERINTENDENT OF EDUCATION*



*Expanded ADEPT Process Overview*  
July 2018

## Preface

Implemented statewide in 1998, the ADEPT (Assisting, Developing, and Evaluating Professional Teaching) system has become a vital part of South Carolina’s overall teacher quality initiative. In addition to achieving the minimum score or better on appropriate examinations of both subject matter (content) and general teaching area, teachers were required to complete all ADEPT requirements to be eligible for a professional teaching certificate. In 2006 ADEPT Guidelines were approved by the South Carolina State Board of Education and have continued to be the basis for teacher evaluation and licensure. In 2012, the South Carolina Department of Education (SCDE) applied for and was granted a waiver from the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) requirements. The United States Department of Education approved the waiver provided that an expanded system for educator evaluation was developed and implemented statewide that included student growth measures.

In December 2015, ESEA legislation was reauthorized, allowing states greater flexibility in designing educator evaluation systems. The SCDE took this opportunity to rethink its approach to the redesign of the *Expanded ADEPT Support and Evaluation System*. Four requests were made of the State Board of Education:

- Remove the requirement of test score-based measures within educator evaluation.
- Continue to collect student growth measures through the student learning objectives (SLO) process and the use of local growth measures.
- Use the student growth measure as an artifact that supports ratings within professional practice domains rather than as its own measure.
- Grant permission to convene educator stakeholder focus groups to get feedback related to changes in the system.

These four requests were approved on January 13, 2016. Refinements in the system guidelines reflect stakeholder feedback gathered from focus groups, an online feedback survey and multiple reviews from advisory groups across the state of South Carolina. Based on their responses, educators indicated that what they wanted most from an evaluation system was clear, timely, useful feedback. The South Carolina Department of Education used this feedback to revise our vision for supporting teacher evaluation in South Carolina. South Carolina’s *Expanded ADEPT* system represents a shift from an evaluation system that assigns teachers a “Met” or “Not Met” rating at the end of the year to a professional growth and development system that gives teachers timely, thoughtful feedback about their practice.

*Expanded ADEPT* focuses attention on intended student outcomes, allowing educators to align and strengthen professional practice to support those outcomes. The system uses data-driven improvements to the state’s existing support and evaluation systems authorized under the following:

- S.C. Code Ann. §§ 59-26-40 (Supp. 2016): Training, Certification, and Evaluation of Public Educators, available at [http://ed.sc.gov/scdoe/assets/file/programs-services/50/documents/ADEPT\\_Statute\\_Amended2012.pdf](http://ed.sc.gov/scdoe/assets/file/programs-services/50/documents/ADEPT_Statute_Amended2012.pdf)
- State Board of Education Regulation § 43-205.1 (Supp. 2016): Assisting, Developing, and Evaluating Professional Teaching (ADEPT), available at <http://ed.sc.gov/educators/educator-effectiveness/adept-evaluation-system-2006/adept-overview/regulation-no-r-43-205-1/>

Every student in South Carolina schools deserves an effective teacher. Teachers deserve timely, thoughtful feedback about their practice to grow and develop at all stages of their professional career. The *Expanded ADEPT Support and Evaluation System* represents a significant step in the journey of improving teacher professional practice for the benefit of ALL students in South Carolina.

The primary goal is to use evaluation as a tool that informs an educator's professional growth and development, with emphasis on the direct connection between teacher practices and increased student learning through the incorporation of student academic growth measures into classroom-based teachers' evaluation. Revisions in our current system are intended to align and strengthen professional practice to support the intended student outcomes.

## **Introduction to South Carolina Teaching Standards**

As part of the *Expanded ADEPT* system, South Carolina Teaching Standards (SCTS) 4.0 is South Carolina's primary formal evaluation model for classroom-based teachers. The SCTS 4.0 rubric is based on sets of performance standards designed and validated by the National Institute for Excellence in Teaching (NIET) and establishes the expectations for what classroom-based teachers are to know, be able to do, and carry out as an integral part of their practice. These expectations, called the SCTS Indicators, are the foundation for good teaching and are designed to grow classroom-based teachers throughout their career continuum, beginning with teacher preparation and continuing through Induction, summative performance evaluations, and ongoing professional growth and development. A classroom-based teacher's proficiency in each of the standards is expected to occur developmentally and to increase continuously throughout the entirety of the teaching career. The SCTS 4.0 rubric is *not* intended to be used to evaluate special area personnel, including library media specialists, school guidance counselors, and speech-language therapists. They will continue to be evaluated under the existing 2006 ADEPT guidelines.

## **Application of SCTS**

In order to qualify for advancement to a professional teaching certificate and/or to become eligible for employment under a continuing contract, educators must successfully complete an *Expanded ADEPT* summative evaluation at the annual-contract level. The following requirements apply to the use of SCTS for the purpose of certificate and/or contract advancement:

- Teachers must be evaluated in an area or areas in which they are *fully certified* at the time of the evaluation.
- Teachers must be employed *at least half-time* (i.e., full time educator = >0.5) at the annual-contract level at the time they are evaluated in order to qualify for certificate advancement.

## **Orientations**

The school district must ensure that all classroom-based teachers scheduled for summative evaluation receive a *comprehensive* orientation to the evaluation process prior to the beginning of the evaluation. The orientation may be presented to the teachers in a group setting or on an individual basis. The orientation must include, at minimum, written and oral explanations of the SCTS instrument or SCDE-approved alternative instrument, the evaluation process, the evaluation timeline, the criteria for successfully completing the evaluation, and the intended use of the evaluation results. Additionally, prior to the beginning of the preliminary evaluation period, each teacher must be informed of the names of the members of his or her evaluation team. In districts using the SCTS rubric, teachers must participate in the SCDE approved one-day teacher rubric training before their first summative evaluation. Teachers only need to receive the SCTS rubric one-day rubric training once.

All classroom-based teachers and special area educators scheduled for annual or continuing contract formative and GBE evaluations must be oriented to the district's general approach to *Expanded ADEPT* for their evaluation type.

### SCTS 4.0 Rubric Indicators

There are 23 SCTS Indicators for classroom-based teachers. These indicators are grouped into four broad categories, or domains:

Planning	Instruction	Environment	Professionalism
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Instructional Plans</li> <li>• Student Work</li> <li>• Assessment</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Standards &amp; Objectives</li> <li>• Motivating Students</li> <li>• Presenting Instructional Content</li> <li>• Lesson Structure &amp; Pacing</li> <li>• Activities &amp; Materials</li> <li>• Questioning</li> <li>• Academic Feedback</li> <li>• Grouping Students</li> <li>• Teacher Content Knowledge</li> <li>• Teacher Knowledge of Students</li> <li>• Thinking</li> <li>• Problem Solving</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Managing Student Behavior</li> <li>• Expectations</li> <li>• Environment</li> <li>• Respectful Culture</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Growing &amp; Developing Professionally</li> <li>• Reflecting on Teaching</li> <li>• Community Involvement</li> <li>• School Responsibilities</li> </ul>

Each of these Indicators contains a set of Descriptors—the critical components of the Indicator. Together, the Indicators and Descriptors establish the proficiency expectations for each Domain. While the indicators and descriptors provide expected behaviors under each domain, Descriptors are not intended to be used as a checklist. Good teaching takes different forms, depending on the content, the students, and the intended outcomes. Oftentimes, a variety of instructional and assessment methods and approaches are equally viable. For this reason, a checklist is far too restrictive to be used for gauging teacher effectiveness.

## **SCTS Evaluation Teams**

SCTS evaluators vary based on the contract level of the teacher being evaluated. All evaluators must have met all SCTS evaluator training requirements. For all evaluation teams, one member must serve as the chair.

### ***Induction Contract Teachers***

- Principal or SCTS certified administrative designee (each induction teacher must also be assigned a trained mentor who will not evaluate the teacher's performance)

### ***Annual & Continuing Summative Contract Teachers***

- Principal or SCTS certified administrative designee and content expert

### ***Annual Contract Teachers Undergoing Diagnostic Assistance***

- Principal or SCTS certified administrative designee and content expert (each teacher undergoing diagnostic assistance must also be assigned a trained mentor who will not evaluate the teacher's performance)

### ***Continuing Contract Teachers Undergoing Comprehensive Formative Evaluations***

- Principal or SCTS certified administrative designee and IF NECESSARY a content expert

### ***Annual Contract Teachers Undergoing Second Formal Evaluations/Returning Following State Sanctions***

- Principal or SCTS certified administrative designee, content expert, and other SCTS certified evaluator

### ***Responsibilities of Team Member***

- Maintain all observation evidence for each teacher he/she observes through SCLead.org or other district system
- Hold appropriate conferences/meetings with teacher under evaluation
- Report teacher evaluation ratings

### ***Responsibilities of Team Chair***

- Maintain all evaluation evidence for each teacher who is undergoing formal evaluation
- Ensure that copies of all evaluation documents are made available to each member of the evaluation team through SCLead.org or other district system
- Hold appropriate conferences/meetings with teacher under evaluation
- Report teacher evaluation ratings

### ***Responsibilities of the Mentor***

- Must be trained and assigned in accordance with the South Carolina Mentoring and Induction Program: Implementation Guidelines as well as with the *Expanded ADEPT* training requirements that are described in this document. The role of the mentor is to provide additional support to the educator.
- Must have regular opportunities to observe, consult with, coach, give formative feedback to, and provide other types of assistance to the educator, as specified in the educator's Professional Growth and Development Plan (PGDP). The mentor should keep a log to document the assistance he or she has provided to the educator throughout the year.
- Must *not* serve as an evaluator for the educator.

### Evaluation Team Responsibility Chart

Person(s) Responsible	Responsibilities
Evaluation Team Member	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Undergo three-day SCTS evaluator training/pass certification</li> <li>• Hold 1 preconference (1<sup>st</sup> semester) prior to observation</li> <li>• Conduct 1 observation per semester</li> <li>• Document evidence collected from classroom observations (student and teacher)</li> <li>• Hold 2 post-conferences (1 per semester) within 5 school days of observation</li> <li>• Participate in evaluation team meetings</li> </ul>
Team Chair	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Complete all team member responsibilities</li> <li>• Ensure all team-members have access to appropriate evaluation documents</li> <li>• Maintain all evidence through SCLead.org or district system (for consensus)</li> <li>• Meet with teacher to discuss the overall evaluation rating and SLO (within 5 school days of consensus meeting; before May 1)</li> <li>• Report teacher’s evaluation ratings</li> </ul>
SLO Approver (If different)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Conduct initial, mid-course, and end of year SLO conferences with teacher</li> </ul>
Mentor (If assigned)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Complete two-day SC Mentor training</li> <li>• Communicate regularly with induction teacher</li> <li>• Observe teacher in practice</li> <li>• Provide support through coaching, planning, resources, etc.</li> </ul>

#### SCTS Timelines

SCTS evaluations must cover a minimum of 90 working days over the course of the academic year and must consist of two evaluation periods: a preliminary evaluation period and a final evaluation period. Each evaluation period must include the following procedures: (1) classroom observations, (2) the collection of all required evidence (including SLO), (3) conferences with the teacher, and (4) the evaluators’ consensus meeting (when appropriate). The preliminary and final evaluation periods should be roughly equal in length, with each evaluation period covering a minimum of 45 student attendance days.

The preliminary (i.e., first) evaluation period must begin on or after the date that the teacher orientation is held. The entire preliminary evaluation period must occur during the first semester of the school year. The preliminary evaluation results are intended to serve as a “progress report” to the teacher.

At least a portion of the final evaluation period must occur during the second semester of the school year. The final evaluation period must end prior to the dates that contracts are issued annually. Each school district must include the dates of the formal evaluation period in its annual *Expanded ADEPT* plan. All evaluators, as well as teachers who are scheduled to undergo formal evaluation, must be informed in advance of these dates.

## SCTS Evaluation Cycle for Principals/Evaluators

### Prerequisites

- Receive SCTS training/certification
- Form evaluation teams
- Conduct teacher orientation (SCTS rubric/comprehensive)

### Preliminary Evaluation Cycle

- Conduct SLO approval conferences/SCTS preconferences\*
- Complete first semester observations (announced) within 2 school days of preconference
- Complete observation post-conferences within 5 school days of observation (embed mid-course SLO conference)\*
- *Consensus meeting with evaluation team (if applicable)*

### Final Evaluation Cycle

- Complete second semester observations (unannounced)
- Complete second semester observation post-conferences (within 5 school days of observation)
- Assign professionalism evaluation ratings
- *Consensus meeting with evaluation team (if applicable)*
- Final evaluation/SLO conference with teacher (before May 1)\*

\* Districts may decide to hold SLO conferences separately

## SCTS Evaluation Cycle for Teachers

### Prerequisites

- Participate in SCTS rubric/comprehensive orientation

### Preliminary Evaluation Cycle

- Create SLO
- SLO approval conference/SCTS preconferences with principal/evaluator\*
- Undergo first semester observation(s) (announced) within 2 school days of preconference(s)
- Complete Self-Evaluation Report(s) (submit within 2 calendar days of observed lesson)
- Observation post-conference with evaluator(s) within 5 school days of observation (mid-course SLO conference embedded)\*

### Final Evaluation Cycle

- Undergo second semester observation(s) (unannounced)
- Complete Self-Evaluation Report(s) (submit within 2 calendar days)
- Observation post-conference with evaluator(s) within 5 school days of observation
- Complete Professional Self-Review
- Final evaluation/SLO conference with evaluator(s) \*

\* Districts may decide to hold SLO conferences separately

\* For educators completing second semester SLOs, conferences may occur during the final evaluation cycle.