

# **U.S. Department of Education**

**Washington, D.C. 20202-5335**

## **Fiscal Year 2014 AdHoc Performance Report**

**CFDA # 84.282A**

**PR/Award # U282A100018**

**Budget Period # 5**

**Report Type: AdHoc Performance**

## Table of Contents

Sl#	Title	Page#
1.	Grant Performance Report (ED 524B) Cover Sheet - Revised 2015 -----	3
2.	2010_APR_report_with_Executive_Summary_only.4.2.18.pdf -----	5
3.	Grant Performance Report (ED 524B) Project Status Chart - Section A - 1 -----	8
4.	Grant Performance Report (ED 524B) Project Status Chart - Section A - 2 -----	11
5.	Grant Performance Report (ED 524B) Project Status Chart - Section A - 3 -----	12
6.	Grant Performance Report (ED 524B) Project Status Chart - Section A - 4 -----	14
7.	Grant Performance Report (ED 524B) Project Status Chart - Section B & C -----	16
8.	2010_APR_Executive_Summary_Section_B_only.4.2.18.pdf -----	17
9.	2010_APR_Executive_Summary_Section_C_only.4.2.18.pdf -----	19

**U.S. Department of Education  
Grant Performance Report  
Cover Sheet (ED 524B)**

**Check only one box per  
Program Office instructions.**

**Annual  
Performance  
Report**                       **Final  
Performance  
Report**

**General Information**

1. PR/Award #: U282A100018  
(Block 5 of the Grant Award Notification - 11 Characters.)

2. Grantee NCES ID#: 45  
(See instructions. Up to 12 Characters.)

3. Project Title: Public Charter Schools  
(Enter the same title as on the approved application.)

4. Grantee Name: SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
(Block 1 of the Grant Award Notification.)

5. Grantee Address:  
(See instructions.)

Street: 1429 SENATE ST  
City: COLUMBIA  
State: SC Zip: 29201 Zip+4: 3730

6. Project Director:  
(See instructions.)

First Name: Fredrica  
Phone #: 8037340526

Last Name: Brailsford  
Fax #: 8037348388

Title: CSP P&I Grant Manager  
Email Address: FBrailsford@ed.sc.gov

**Reporting Period Information (See instructions.)**

7. Reporting Period: From: 08/01/2017 To: 02/28/2018  
(mm/dd/yyyy)

**Budget Expenditures (To be completed by your Business Office. See instructions. Also see Section B.)**

8. Budget Expenditures:

	<b>Federal Grant Funds</b>	<b>Non-Federal Funds (Match/Cost Share)</b>
a. Previous Budget Period	2,315,384	0
b. Current Budget Period	1,127,874	0
c. Entire Project Period (For Final Performance Reports only)		

**Indirect Cost Information (To be completed by your Business Office. See instructions.)**

9. Indirect Costs

- a. Are you claiming indirect costs under this grant?  
If yes, please indicate which of the following applies to your grant?       Yes  No
- b. The grantee has an Indirect Cost Rate Agreement approved by the Federal Government:       Yes  No  
The period covered by the Indirect Cost Rate Agreement is : From: 07/01/2016 To: 06/30/2020 (mm/dd/yyyy)  
The approving Federal agency is :       ED  Other      (Please specify):  
The Indirect Cost Rate is : 3 %  
Type of Rate       Provisional      (Please specify):  
(For Final Performance Reports Only):       Final  Other
- c. The grantee is not a State, local government, or Indian tribe, and is using the de minimus rate of 10% of modified total direct costs (MTDC) in compliance with 2 CFR 200.414(f)       Yes  No
- d. The grantee is funded under a Restricted Rate Program and is you using a restricted indirect cost rate that either :  
 Is included in your approved Indirect Cost Rate Agreement       Complies with 34 CFR 76.564(c)(2)?
- e. The grantee is funded under a Training Rate Program and:  
 Is recovering indirect cost using 8 percent of MTDC in compliance with 34 CFR 75.562(c)(2)  
 Is recovering indirect costs using its actual negotiated indirect cost rate

**Human Subjects (Annual Institutional Review Board (IRB) Certification) (See instructions.)**

10. Is the annual certification of Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval attached?       Yes  No  N/A

**Performance Measures Status and Certification (See instructions.)**

11. Performance Measures Status

- a. Are complete data on performance measures for the current budget period included in the Project Status Chart?       Yes  No

b. If no, when will the data be available and submitted to the Department? (mm/dd/yyyy)

12. By signing this report, I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that the report is true, complete, and accurate and the expenditures, disbursements, and cash receipts are for the purposes and objectives set forth in the terms and conditions of the Federal award. I am aware that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent information, or the omission of any material fact, may subject me to criminal, civil or administrative penalties for fraud, false statements, false claims or otherwise. (U.S. Code Title 18, Section 1001 and Title 31, Sections 3729-3730 and 3801-33812). Furthermore, to the best of my knowledge and belief, all data in this performance report are true, complete, and correct and the report fully discloses all known weaknesses concerning the accuracy, reliability, and completeness of data reported.

Name of Authorized Representative: Molly Spearman	Title: Superintendent
Signature:	Date:

**Grant Performance Report (ED 524B) Executive Summary Attachment:**

Title : State Superintendent

File : [2010\\_APR\\_report\\_with\\_Executive\\_Summary\\_only.4.2.18.pdf](#)

**U.S. Department of Education  
Grant Performance Report  
Cover Sheet (ED 524B)**

*Check only one box per  
Program Office instructions.*

**Annual Performance Report**                       **Final Performance Report**

**General Information**

1. PR/Award #: U282A100018 (Block 5 of the Grant Award Notification - 11 Characters.)                      2. Grantee NCES ID#: 45 (See instructions. Up to 12 Characters.)

3. Project Title: Public Charter Schools (Enter the same title as on the approved application.)

4. Grantee Name: SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (Block 1 of the Grant Award Notification.)

5. Grantee Address: (See instructions.)

Street: 1429 SENATE ST  
City: COLUMBIA  
State: SC Zip: 29201 Zip+4: 3730

6. Project Director: (See instructions.)

First Name: Fredrica                      Last Name: Brailsford                      Title: CSP P&I Grant Manager  
Phone #: 8037340526                      Fax #: 8037348388                      Email Address: FBrailsford@ed.sc.gov

**Reporting Period Information (See instructions.)**

7. Reporting Period: From: 08/01/2017 To: 02/28/2018 (mm/dd/yyyy)

**Budget Expenditures (To be completed by your Business Office. See instructions. Also see Section B.)**

8. Budget Expenditures:

	Federal Grant Funds	Non-Federal Funds (Match/Cost Share)
a. Previous Budget Period	2,315,384	0
b. Current Budget Period	1,127,874	0
c. Entire Project Period (For Final Performance Reports only)		

**Indirect Cost Information (To be completed by your Business Office. See instructions.)**

9. Indirect Costs

- a. Are you claiming indirect costs under this grant?  
If yes, please indicate which of the following applies to your grant?                       Yes  No
- b. The grantee has an Indirect Cost Rate Agreement approved by the Federal Government:                       Yes  No  
The period covered by the Indirect Cost Rate Agreement is : From: 07/01/2016 To:06/30/2020 (mm/dd/yyyy)  
The approving Federal agency is :                       ED  Other (Please specify):  
The Indirect Cost Rate is : 3 %  
Type of Rate                       Provisional (Please specify):  
(For Final Performance Reports Only):                       Final  Other
- c. The grantee is not a State, local government, or Indian tribe, and is using the de minimus rate of 10% of modified total direct costs (MTDC) in compliance with 2 CFR 200.414(f)                       Yes  No
- d. The grantee is funded under a Restricted Rate Program and is you using a restricted indirect cost rate that either :  
 Is included in your approved Indirect Cost Rate Agreement  Complies with 34 CFR 76.564(c)(2)?
- e. The grantee is funded under a Training Rate Program and:  
 Is recovering indirect cost using 8 percent of MTDC in compliance with 34 CFR 75.562(c)(2)  
 Is recovering indirect costs using its actual negotiated indirect cost rate

**Human Subjects (Annual Institutional Review Board (IRB) Certification) (See instructions.)**

10. Is the annual certification of Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval attached?                       Yes  No  N/A

**Performance Measures Status and Certification (See instructions.)**

11. Performance Measures Status

- a. Are complete data on performance measures for the current budget period included in the Project Status Chart?                       Yes  No

b. If no, when will the data be available and submitted to the Department? (mm/dd/yyyy)

12. By signing this report, I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that the report is true, complete, and accurate and the expenditures, disbursements, and cash receipts are for the purposes and objectives set forth in the terms and conditions of the Federal award. I am aware that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent information, or the omission of any material fact, may subject me to criminal, civil or administrative penalties for fraud, false statements, false claims or otherwise. (U.S. Code Title 18, Section 1001 and Title 31, Sections 3729-3730 and 3801-33812). Furthermore, to the best of my knowledge and belief, all data in this performance report are true, complete, and correct and the report fully discloses all known weaknesses concerning the accuracy, reliability, and completeness of data reported.

Name of Authorized Representative: Molly Spearman	Title: Superintendent
Signature: <i>Molly M Spearman</i>	Date: 28 March 2018

**Grant Performance Report (ED 524B) Executive Summary Attachment:**

Title :  
File :



U.S. Department of Education  
Grant Performance Report (ED 524B)  
Executive Summary

OMB No. 1894-0003  
Exp. 06/30/2017

PR/Award# (11 characters): U282A100018

## Executive Summary:

- **Illustrate project progress from inception to date, and not just the current budget period;**

**GPRA measure:**

The CSP P&I grant cycle which ended in 2015 yielded 43 charter schools. This exceeded the goal of 36. The CSP P&I grant has yielded 47 open charter schools out of 57 funded as of March 2018.

The number of charter schools in operations in the state has grown to 70 since the inception of the 2010 CSP P&I grant.

The CSP office continues to provide technical assistance and training to new groups seeking to open a charter school as well as groups seeking to apply for CSP P&I funds. The awareness base regarding charter schools throughout the state has increased and this has broadened the number of groups that are interested in opening a charter school.

**Project measure:**

The South Carolina Legislature determined that the Charter School Advisory Committee (CSAC) was no longer needed to review charter school applications prior to review by the respective sponsor/authorizer. All Charter School applications are now reviewed by the eligible sponsor/authorizer for approval.

The project measures have continuously changed due to changes in the state's standards and accountability system and as a result a number of the performance indicators stated in the original grant application are not applicable. A number of the new state's standards have not accumulated sufficient data to be reported on for the APR report.

The CSP office continues to meet the grant application goals of providing technical assistance, oversight and monitoring of the charter schools that are CSP P&I fund recipients.

- **Address progress in relation to overall objectives of the grant, and**

The CSP program has continued to work with groups seeking to open charter schools and provide innovative quality education to students. The partnerships developed with new groups and educational entities has increased the number of authorizers in the state to now include Institutions of Higher Education. The CSP office applied for its first No Cost Extension in 2015. The state has funded six (6) additional charter schools under the No Cost Extension. The CSP office has now requested a 4<sup>th</sup> No Cost Extension to serve the remaining three charter schools originally funded with 2010 CSP P&I funds.

- **Include a discussion of timelines and milestones and any barriers that have impacted the grantee from being on track to meet all objectives and measures of the grant.**

The CSP office had high staff turnover during the first three years of the 2010 grant. Years 1, 2 and 3 had multiple staffers and there was little consistency with training or information provided to new charter schools or groups interested in opening a charter school. Beginning with year 4 (2014), the office has maintained the same staffing and increased the resources available to serve new charter groups, new CSP P&I subgrantees and interested sponsors/authorizers. The CSP office now provides technical assistance training multiple times a year for each group to include new charter school interest groups, new interested sponsor/authorizer groups and new charter schools seeking CSP P&I funds. As a result of the increased capacity in the CSP office, the SEA applied for additional CSP P&I funds in 2015 and was awarded a new grant. The new grant is now providing funding to eligible charter school groups.

U.S. Department of Education  
Grant Performance Report (ED 524B)  
Project Status Chart

PR/Award #: U282A100018

**SECTION A - Project Objectives Information and Related Performance Measures Data** (See Instructions. Use as many pages as necessary.)**1 . Project Objective**

[ ] Check if this is a status update for the previous budget period.

Performance Measure	Measure Type	Quantitative Data					
		Target			Actual Performance Data		
		Raw Number	Ratio	%	Raw Number	Ratio	%
1a.  36 charter schools will open during the five year grant period. Yr. 1-8; Yr. 2-4; Yr. 3-8; Yr. 4-8; and Yr. 5-8. Note: South Carolina has exceeded the five year goal of 36. This is year three (3) of a No Cost Extension.	GPRA		36 / 36	100		47 / 36	131
1b.  At least 60% of applications submitted to the CSAC will be deemed compliant and recommended to the intended authorizer each year. Note: The CSAC no longer exists. All charter school applications are reviewed and approved by the respective sponsor/authorizer. Data reflect the number of approved charters over the number of applications submitted to sponsors and approved by the sponsors.	PROJECT		60 / 100	60		5 / 14	36
1c.  Of applications submitted to the CSAC at least 50% will be chartered by the intended authorizer each year. Note: The CSAC no longer exists. All charter school applications are reviewed and approved by the respective sponsor/authorizer. Data reflect the number of approved charters over the number of applications submitted.	PROJECT		50 / 100	50		5 / 14	36
1d.  60% of CSP charter schools in grant year 2-5, will make AYP by the end of their second operational year (reported when AYP data released). Note: AYP no longer exists. AYP was utilized for school year 2011-2012. . It has been replaced with the ESEA and now ESSA; due to state law, schools will not be rated for state accountability purposes until Fall 2018.	PROJECT		60 / 100	60		0 / 999	0
1e.  50% of CSP charter schools will obtain "good" absolute rating on the SC Report Card by their year of operation. Note: Due to state law, schools	PROJECT		50 / 100	50		0 / 999	0

will not be rated for state accountability purposes until Fall 2018.							
1f.  In Implementation Year 1 and 2. 60% of students will score Exemplary/Met on the PASS exam or Proficient/Advanced on the HSAP exam in ELA. Note: The last HSAP Administration occurred in the 2013-14 SY. The reported data reflects SC Ready data as PASS and HSAP are no longer administered.	PROJECT		60 / 100	60		161 / 443	36
1g.  In Implementation Year 1 and 2. 60% of students attending CSP charter schools will score Exemplary/Met on the PASS exam or Proficient/Advanced on the HSAP exam in Math. Note: The last HSAP Administration occurred in the 2013-14 SY. The reported data reflects SC Ready Data as PASS and HSAP are no longer administered.	PROJECT		60 / 100	60		138 / 443	31
1h.  In Implementation Years 1 and 2. 75% of teachers in CSP charter schools will report satisfaction with the school.	PROJECT		75 / 100	75		54 / 76	71
1i.  In Implementation Years 1 and 2. 75% of the parents with children attending charter schools will report satisfaction with the school.	PROJECT		75 / 100	75		104 / 121	86
1j.  The achievement gap between charter school student groups on the PASS exam in 8th grade ELA will narrow by 2 percentage points each year in at least one of three categories (race-White/AA, gender-Male/Female and students with disabilities). Note: PASS is no longer administered.	PROJECT		1 / 1	100		0 / 999	0
1k.  The achievement gap between charter school student groups on the PASS exam in 8th grade Math will narrow by 2 percentage points each year in at least one of three categories (race-White/AA, gender-Male/Female, and student with disabilities). Note: PASS is no longer administered.	PROJECT		1 / 1	100		0 / 999	0
1l.  The number of charter schools in operations for the 2016-17 SY.	GPRA		1 / 1	100		70 / 70	100
1m.  The percent of charter school students who are achieving at or above proficient levels on the state exams in Math to include data from all state assessments. Note: The data used for this indicator is	GPRA		1 / 1	100		4811 / 12155	40

from the SC Ready assessment for all charter schools in operation administering this exam.							
<p>1n.</p> <p>The percent of charter school students who are achieving at or above proficient levels on the state exam in ELA to include data from all state assessments. Note: The data used for this indicator is from the SC Ready assessment for all charter schools in operation administering this exam.</p>	GPRA		1 / 1	100		5414 / 12152	45

Explanation of Progress (Include Qualitative Data and Data Collection Information)

All data reported regarding student achievement is based on student achievement data from the 2016-17 SY. \*Item 1d: The data for this indicator will not be available until Fall 2018 for the student achievement results from the 2017-18 SY. Item 1e: The data for this indicator will not be available until Fall 2018 for the student achievement results from the 2017-18 SY.

**U.S. Department of Education  
Grant Performance Report (ED 524B)  
Project Status Chart**

**PR/Award #: U282A100018**

**SECTION A - Project Objectives Information and Related Performance Measures Data** (See Instructions. Use as many pages as necessary.)

**2 . Project Objective**  Check if this is a status update for the previous budget period.

Performance Measure	Measure Type	Quantitative Data					
		Target			Actual Performance Data		
		Raw Number	Ratio	%	Raw Number	Ratio	%
2a.  Annually, at least 10 presentations will be made by the charter schools office to state level groups (e.g. districts, state conferences, and charter developer groups) about the charter options/application process	GPRA		10 / 10	100		10 / 10	100
2b.  Annually, after each technical assistance session, 85% of those in attendance will report on an evaluation that they have a better understanding of the charter application and approval process and will provide suggestions for improvement in these sessions.	GPRA		85 / 100	85		38 / 38	100
2c.  90% of the education reports in areas with more than one charter school will annually report on a Likert Survey that the South Carolina Department of Education's actions have increased their understanding about the charter model. Note: Education reporters means respondents to Principal Leadership survey.	GPRA		90 / 100	90		39 / 41	95

Explanation of Progress (Include Qualitative Data and Data Collection Information)

**U.S. Department of Education  
Grant Performance Report (ED 524B)  
Project Status Chart**

**PR/Award #: U282A100018**

**SECTION A - Project Objectives Information and Related Performance Measures Data** (See Instructions. Use as many pages as necessary.)

**3 . Project Objective**

[ ] Check if this is a status update for the previous budget period.

Performance Measure	Measure Type	Quantitative Data					
		Target			Actual Performance Data		
		Raw Number	Ratio	%	Raw Number	Ratio	%
3a.  At least two secondary charter schools targeting at risk students not meeting state standards will open in S.C. each year of the grant period. Note: South Carolina had no CSP secondary charter schools open that applied to serve at risk students.	PROJECT		2 / 2	100		0 / 999	0
3b.  100% of secondary CSP Charter Schools targeting at risk students not meeting state standards will complete and submit to the SCDE an annual report by July 31st detailing their progress in meeting the academic goals and objectives of the school. Annual Reports are currently due to the SCDE on January 31st. Note: SC had no secondary CSP charter schools open serving at risk students that met this criteria.	PROJECT		2 / 2	100		0 / 999	0
3c.  At least 50% of secondary CSP charter schools targeting at risk students not meeting state standards, at the end of Implementation Year Two will have a graduation rate that meets or exceeds 60%. Note: SC had no secondary CSP charter schools serving students as targeted at risk.	PROJECT		50 / 100	50		0 / 999	0
3d.  At least 60% of students in secondary CSP charter schools targeting at risk students not meeting state standards, at the end of Implementation Year Two, will score proficient or Advanced on ELA on the HSAP exam. There is no longer an HSAP exam administered.	GPRA		60 / 100	60		0 / 999	0
3e.  At least 60% of students in secondary CSP charter schools targeting students at risk of not meeting state standards at the end of Implementation Year Two, will score proficient or Advanced in Math on the HSAP exam. There is no longer an HSAP exam administered.	GPRA		60 / 100	60		0 / 999	0

<p>3f. The end of course passage rate (achievement gaps) for students gender groups, in secondary CSP charter schools targeting students at risk of not meeting state standards will narrow by two (2) percentage each year.  Note: There was only one CSP P&amp;I subgrantee that served students in secondary education. This subgrantee did not apply as a targeted charter school to serve at risk students.</p>	GPRA		2 / 2	100		0 / 999	0
Explanation of Progress (Include Qualitative Data and Data Collection Information)							

U.S. Department of Education  
Grant Performance Report (ED 524B)  
Project Status Chart

PR/Award #: U282A100018

**SECTION A - Project Objectives Information and Related Performance Measures Data** (See Instructions. Use as many pages as necessary.)**4 . Project Objective**

[ ] Check if this is a status update for the previous budget period.

Performance Measure	Measure Type	Quantitative Data					
		Target			Actual Performance Data		
		Raw Number	Ratio	%	Raw Number	Ratio	%
4a.  By the end of Implementation Year Two, 100% of new CSP charter schools will report sending their Principals to Leadership training offered through the Office of School Leadership at the SCDE.	PROJECT		100 / 100	100		3 / 3	100
4b.  Annually, 85 % of subgrantees in technical assistance meetings will report on their evaluations an increase in their knowledge of sound charter governance and fiduciary responsibility.	PROJECT		85 / 100	85		38 / 49	78
4c.  Each year, 100% of CSP charter school will document timely board elections.	PROJECT		100 / 100	100		5 / 5	100
4d.  Each year, 100% of CSP charter schools will document regular board meetings.	PROJECT		100 / 100	100		8 / 8	100
4e.  Each year, 100% of CSP charter schools will document board members completion of the required board orientation.	PROJECT		100 / 100	100		8 / 8	100
4f.  100% of Year One CSP charter schools will submit a December 1st financial report. The Annual Reports are due by January 31st from all charter schools. Year One schools do not report their financial data until January 31st of their 2nd operational year.	PROJECT		100 / 100	100		3 / 3	100
4g.  70% of Year Two CSP charter schools will submit an Annual Financial Report with no findings. The results for this indicator will not be available until after charter schools submit their Annual Reports to the SCDE on 1/31/2018.	PROJECT		70 / 100	70		6 / 6	100

4h.  The federal cost per student in successful charter schools (defined as a school in operations for three or more years). South Carolina does not collect data for this indicator.	GPRA		1 / 1	100		0 / 999	0
Explanation of Progress (Include Qualitative Data and Data Collection Information)							

U.S. Department of Education  
Grant Performance Report (ED 524B)  
Project Status Chart

PR/Award #: **U282A100018**

**SECTION B - Budget Information** (See Instructions. Use as many pages as necessary.)

Title : Budget Narrative

File : [2010\\_APR\\_Executive\\_Summary\\_Section\\_B\\_only.4.2.18.pdf](#)

**SECTION C - Additional Information** (See Instructions. Use as many pages as necessary.)

Title : Additional Information

File : [2010\\_APR\\_Executive\\_Summary\\_Section\\_C\\_only.4.2.18.pdf](#)



U.S. Department of Education  
Grant Performance Report (ED 524B)  
Executive Summary

OMB No. 1894-0003  
Exp. 06/30/2017

PR/Award# (11 characters): U282A100018

**SECTION B - Budget Information** (See Instructions. Use as many pages as necessary.)

**Section B: Budget Narrative**

**Actual Expenditures:**

In the current budget period August 1, 2017 through February 28, 2018, the South Carolina Department of Education (SCDE) Charter School Program (CSP) expended \$1,127,873.51. The Administrative expenditures from August 1, 2017 to February 28, 2018 were \$62,783.44. The Flow Thru to subgrantees were \$1,065,090.07.

The previous period expenditures for the period March 1, 2017 through July 31, 2017 were reported on the revised 2010 APR Executive Summary report that was submitted to USED on February 26, 2018. Therefore there is no expenditures to report on this category.

Line Item Description	Total Line Item Cost
Personnel Services	\$40,275.51
Employer Contribution	\$16,262.33
Contractual Services	\$ 0.00
Fixed Charges & Cont.	\$ 2,553.75
Supplies & Materials	\$ 0.00
Travel	\$ 1,866.87
IDC Expenses	\$ 1,824.98
Total	\$62,783.44

**Anticipated Expenditures:**

The projected expenditures for the period March 1, 2018 through September 30, 2018 are \$685,206.30. This includes Flow Thru and Administration. The anticipated Flow Thru expenditures are \$440,361.00. The anticipated Administration expenditures are \$244,484.30.

**Obligations/Commitments/Earmarks beyond Expenditures:**

The SCDE CSP P&I 2010 grant has three subgrantees that have not completed their funding eligibility. The SCDE has submitted a 4<sup>th</sup> No Cost Extension (NCE) for approval. If the NCE is granted two will complete Implementation Year 2 by June 30, 2019. There will be one subgrantee remaining after the June 30, 2019 grant award period, that subgrantee will complete Implementation Year 2 by December 31, 2019 if the SEA is granted a 5<sup>th</sup> No Cost Extension. The total award amount for these three subgrantees are \$640,000.00.

U.S. Department of Education  
Grant Performance Report (ED 524B)  
Executive Summary

OMB No. 1894-0003  
Exp. 06/30/2017

PR/Award# (11 characters): U282A100018

---

**Page 2: Section B:**

**Anticipated Unobligated Carryover:**

The SCDE anticipates that \$1,749,536.47 may be returned as unobligated funds from the 2010 CSP P&I grant. This anticipated amount includes \$1,645,328.80 from Flow Thru funds as unobligated and \$104,207.67 as unobligated funds from Administration.

These projections are based on the three current 2010 CSP P&I subgrantees receiving the remaining funds for Implementation Year 1 and Implementation Year 2.

U.S. Department of Education  
Grant Performance Report (ED 524B)  
Executive Summary

OMB No. 1894-0003  
Exp. 06/30/2017

PR/Award# (11 characters): U282A100018

---

**Section C: Additional Information:**

The SCDE 2010 CSP P&I grant will not run any additional competitions. The unobligated funds will be returned to USED at the close of the 2010 grant following completion of all approved No Cost Extensions.