

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

MOLLY M. SPEARMAN
STATE SUPERINTENDENT OF EDUCATION



Teacher Candidate Survey Report

South Carolina General Assembly

Pursuant to Act 291 of 2016

By the S.C. Department of Education and the Center for Educator Recruitment,
Retention, and Advancement, in collaboration with the Commission on Higher
Education

January 26, 2017

The South Carolina Department of Education does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, sexual orientation, veteran status, or disability in admission to, treatment in, or employment in its programs and activities. Inquiries regarding the nondiscrimination policies should be made to the Employee Relations Manager, 1429 Senate Street, Columbia, South Carolina 29201, 803-734-8781. For further information on federal non-discrimination regulations, including Title IX, contact the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights at OCR.DC@ed.gov or call 1-800-421-3481.

Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| Introduction: Reporting Requirement | 3 |
| Survey Development and Dissemination..... | 3 |
| Discussion of Results | 4 |
| Summary of Responses on Incentives | 4 |
| Survey Response Summary | 8 |
| Schools to which survey was sent: | 8 |
| Students Responding | 9 |
| Number of students responding | 9 |
| Majors Represented | 9 |
| Survey Questions | 10 |
| Question: What are your plans after graduation? | 10 |
| Question: Teaching in a rural or economically-challenged school: How likely are you to consider teaching in an elementary, middle, or secondary school located in a rural or economically-challenged public school district? | 11 |
| Question: Were you a Pro Team or Teacher Cadet participant? | 11 |
| Question: Are you a Teaching Fellow Participant? | 12 |
| Question: Are you a Call Me Mister Participant? | 12 |
| Question: Learning challenges-How aware are you of some of the learning challenges children face who attend school in a rural and/or economically challenged school districts in South Carolina? | 12 |
| Question: Can you relate to their challenges personally? If “yes”, please provide examples of ways you overcame them to reach your current level of achievement. | 12 |
| Question: Would the following incentives, or others, cause you to consider moving to, and working in an elementary, middle, or high school located in a rural or economically-challenged public school district? | 13 |
| Considerations and Next Steps: | 15 |
| Attachment 1: “Other” Responses Concerning Incentives | 17 |

Introduction: Reporting Requirement

The South Carolina Department of Education (SCDE) provides the following report in compliance with Act 291 of 2016, which reads as follows:

SECTION 1. The State Department of Education and the Center for Educator Recruitment, Retention and Advancement (CERRA), working in collaboration with the Commission on Higher Education, shall survey students enrolled in the state's colleges of education. This survey shall be administered to those college students who have been fully admitted into their institution's teacher education program. At a minimum, the survey shall include questions inquiring as to whether students have ever considered teaching in a rural and economically challenged district. Students must be asked what incentives, if any, would cause them to move to, and work in, such a district. The State Department of Education and CERRA may include additional questions in the survey as considered useful. Results of the survey must be reported to the General Assembly by December 1, 2016.

Additionally, the Department of Education, CERRA, and the Commission on Higher Education (CHE) together shall explore a practical and effective means of obtaining similar survey information from students in other programs at the state's institutions of higher learning as a means of planning and promoting teaching career information and employment options and in accordance with the general intentions of Section 13-1-1840 of the 1976 Code. A report summarizing the recommendations for this survey must be submitted to the General Assembly by February 1, 2017, to include whether the focus should be on students in the state's two-year as well as four-year institutions, and whether improvements facilitating transfer and articulation into teacher education programs could enhance recruitment into the teaching profession.

SECTION 2. This joint resolution takes effect upon approval by the Governor.

This report contains the results of the survey conducted during the fall of 2016.

Survey Development and Dissemination

- The SCDE met with CERRA during August 2016 and began the initial draft of the questions.
- During the months of September and October, a draft document with the questions was circulated between the SCDE, CERRA, and CHE.
- In late October, the questions were presented by the SCDE to the deans of the schools of education and final feedback was provided.
- The survey was disseminated to the students through the deans for completion during the month of November. (Attachment 1)

Discussion of Results

Survey responses were received from 952 students in 26 institutions of higher education.

The closer students are to graduation, the more likely it is that they have focused upon future employment and experienced a public school classroom. Seventy-two percent of the students responding were Juniors or Seniors, and another 14 percent were in graduate or other programs. With that context it is very encouraging that 79 percent of the students responding (751) had plans to teach in a South Carolina public school, and 25 percent indicated plans to pursue a graduate degree in an education program.

In addition, 63 percent indicate it was “likely” (34%) or “highly likely” (29%) that they would teach in a rural or economically-challenged public school. Only 16 percent of the respondents indicated that they were not aware (1%) or only somewhat aware (15%) of the challenges facing such schools. We analyzed the responses to the likelihood of teaching in these schools with responses to questions about participation in Pro Team, Teacher Cadet, Teaching Fellows, and Call Me Mister. Higher percentages of students who participated in those programs indicated a likelihood of teaching a rural or poverty public school.

| Teaching in a Rural or Economically-Challenged School was “Likely” or “Highly Likely” | | |
|--|---|---|
| Program | “Yes” – Participated + Likely or Highly Likely | “No” – Not Participating + Likely or Highly Likely |
| Pro Team or Teacher Cadet (276 “yes”) | 68% (N=187) | 62% (N=416) |
| Teaching Fellows (111 “yes”) | 70% (N=78) | 62% (N=525) |
| Call Me Mister (5 “yes”) | 80% (N=4) | 63% (N=599) |

Questions asked the likelihood that various benefits would create incentives to teach in a rural or poverty public school. The following table summarizes those responses.

Summary of Responses on Incentives

| Incentive | Not likely | Somewhat likely | Likely | More than likely | Highly likely | % Likely or Higher |
|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Advance While Teaching | 18 | 21 | 114 | 294 | 505 | 96% |
| Bonus | 30 | 30 | 121 | 233 | 538 | 94% |
| Housing Allowance | 38 | 30 | 175 | 236 | 473 | 93% |
| Supervisor/Pay | 35 | 41 | 150 | 285 | 441 | 92% |
| Collaboration | 36 | 72 | 207 | 319 | 318 | 89% |
| Loan Forgiveness | 80 | 28 | 99 | 141 | 604 | 89% |
| Advanced Degree Loans | 68 | 50 | 144 | 213 | 477 | 88% |
| Mentor | 51 | 76 | 199 | 294 | 332 | 87% |
| Merit Pay | 81 | 84 | 224 | 241 | 322 | 83% |
| Suitable Housing | 88 | 78 | 196 | 246 | 344 | 83% |

| Incentive | Not likely | Somewhat likely | Likely | More than likely | Highly likely | % Likely or Higher |
|--------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Reduced Responsibilities | 72 | 105 | 277 | 253 | 245 | 81% |

Questions about the incentives were cross-tabulated with the question about the likelihood of teaching in a rural or poverty public school to analyze the impact of incentives on those who likely otherwise would *not* teach in a rural or poverty public school. As one respondent noted:

Ever since I knew I wanted to become a teacher I knew I wanted to work in impoverished/economically challenged schools. While the incentives would be great, with or without them it still wouldn't change my desire to work in these types of schools.

Therefore, we analyzed the responses for those who would not likely choose such a school, or were only “somewhat likely” to do so (*See Attachment 1*). None of the incentives had a great impact on making it highly likely that decisions would be changed by those “not likely” to pick a rural or poverty school. The highest impact incentives were loan benefits at 2.31 percent and bonus at 2.0 percent. When incentives are reviewed for those who were “somewhat likely” to pick a rural or poverty public school, the responses that the incentive would influence the decision were as follows:

| Respondents "Somewhat Likely" to Choose a Rural or High Poverty School | Would Incentive Influence? | |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| | Likely or Above | Highly Likely |
| Advance Professionally | 28.68% | 13.24% |
| Supervisory Pay | 28.25% | 11.87% |
| Housing Allowance | 27.84% | 12.50% |
| Bonus | 27.73% | 16.18% |
| Collaboration | 25.63% | 6.72% |
| Loan Benefits | 25.21% | 14.81% |
| Advanced Degree Loans | 25.21% | 11.24% |
| Merit Pay | 25.10% | 7.98% |
| Reduced Responsibilities | 24.69% | 6.62% |
| Suitable Community-Based Housing | 24.68% | 8.82% |
| Mentors | 24.47% | 6.30% |

| Responses to Likelihood of Working in Rural or Poverty School (without Incentives) | Impact of Incentives on causing to work in a rural/poverty school | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| | Not Likely | Somewhat | Likely | More than Likely | Highly Likely |
| | Mentors | | | | |
| Highly likely | 0.42% | 1.26% | 3.89% | 7.67% | 15.97% |
| Likely | 1.37% | 0.95% | 5.46% | 14.18% | 12.18% |
| Somewhat likely | 2.00% | 3.68% | 9.87% | 8.30% | 6.30% |
| Not likely at all | 1.58% | 2.10% | 1.68% | 0.74% | 0.42% |
| Grand Total | 5.36% | 7.98% | 20.90% | 30.88% | 34.87% |
| | Collaboration | | | | |
| Highly likely | 0.21% | 0.84% | 4.31% | 8.40% | 15.44% |
| Likely | 0.53% | 2.00% | 6.09% | 14.71% | 10.82% |
| Somewhat likely | 1.58% | 2.94% | 9.77% | 9.14% | 6.72% |
| Not likely at all | 1.47% | 1.79% | 1.58% | 1.26% | 0.42% |
| Grand Total | 3.78% | 7.56% | 21.74% | 33.51% | 33.40% |
| | Advance Professionally | | | | |
| Highly likely | 0.00% | 0.11% | 2.00% | 6.83% | 20.27% |
| Likely | 0.74% | 0.53% | 2.52% | 11.97% | 18.38% |
| Somewhat likely | 0.53% | 0.95% | 5.46% | 9.98% | 13.24% |
| Not likely at all | 0.63% | 0.63% | 2.00% | 2.10% | 1.16% |
| Grand Total | 1.89% | 2.21% | 11.97% | 30.88% | 53.05% |
| | Supervisory Pay | | | | |
| Highly likely | 0.63% | 1.05% | 3.47% | 6.72% | 17.33% |
| Likely | 1.37% | 1.26% | 4.73% | 11.34% | 15.44% |
| Somewhat likely | 0.74% | 1.16% | 6.30% | 10.08% | 11.87% |
| Not likely at all | 0.95% | 0.84% | 1.26% | 1.79% | 1.68% |
| Grand Total | 3.68% | 4.31% | 15.76% | 29.94% | 46.32% |
| | Merit Pay | | | | |
| Highly likely | 2.42% | 2.42% | 5.36% | 6.30% | 12.71% |
| Likely | 2.52% | 2.63% | 7.56% | 9.77% | 11.66% |
| Somewhat likely | 2.10% | 2.94% | 8.72% | 8.40% | 7.98% |
| Not likely at all | 1.47% | 0.84% | 1.89% | 0.84% | 1.47% |
| Grand Total | 8.51% | 8.82% | 23.53% | 25.32% | 33.82% |
| | Loan Benefits | | | | |
| Highly likely | 0.84% | 0.11% | 1.26% | 2.42% | 24.58% |
| Likely | 2.52% | 0.74% | 3.68% | 5.46% | 21.74% |
| Somewhat likely | 3.47% | 1.47% | 4.62% | 5.78% | 14.81% |
| Not likely at all | 1.58% | 0.63% | 0.84% | 1.16% | 2.31% |
| Grand Total | 8.40% | 2.94% | 10.40% | 14.81% | 63.45% |

| Responses to Likelihood of Working in Rural or Poverty School (without Incentives) | Impact of Incentives on causing to work in a rural/poverty school | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------|--------|------------------|---------------|
| | Not Likely | Somewhat Likely | Likely | More than Likely | Highly Likely |
| | Advanced Degree Loans | | | | |
| Highly likely | 1.05% | 0.42% | 2.52% | 5.15% | 20.06% |
| Likely | 1.79% | 1.68% | 5.25% | 7.98% | 17.44% |
| Somewhat likely | 2.42% | 2.52% | 6.30% | 7.67% | 11.24% |
| Not likely at all | 1.89% | 0.63% | 1.05% | 1.58% | 1.37% |
| Grand Total | 7.14% | 5.25% | 15.13% | 22.37% | 50.11% |
| | Reduced Responsibilities | | | | |
| Highly likely | 2.21% | 2.42% | 7.98% | 7.14% | 9.45% |
| Likely | 1.89% | 4.41% | 9.45% | 10.08% | 8.30% |
| Somewhat likely | 2.21% | 3.26% | 9.77% | 8.30% | 6.62% |
| Not likely at all | 1.26% | 0.95% | 1.89% | 1.05% | 1.37% |
| Grand Total | 7.56% | 11.03% | 29.10% | 26.58% | 25.74% |
| | Housing Allowance | | | | |
| Highly likely | 0.63% | 0.63% | 4.10% | 5.25% | 18.59% |
| Likely | 1.05% | 1.05% | 5.78% | 9.45% | 16.81% |
| Somewhat likely | 1.58% | 0.74% | 6.83% | 8.51% | 12.50% |
| Not likely at all | 0.74% | 0.74% | 1.68% | 1.58% | 1.79% |
| Grand Total | 3.99% | 3.15% | 18.38% | 24.79% | 49.68% |
| | Bonus | | | | |
| Highly likely | 0.63% | 0.11% | 2.94% | 6.09% | 19.43% |
| Likely | 0.84% | 1.16% | 4.31% | 8.93% | 18.91% |
| Somewhat likely | 0.95% | 1.47% | 3.78% | 7.77% | 16.18% |
| Not likely at all | 0.74% | 0.42% | 1.68% | 1.68% | 2.00% |
| Grand Total | 3.15% | 3.15% | 12.71% | 24.47% | 56.51% |
| | Suitable Community-Based Housing | | | | |
| Highly likely | 2.10% | 2.00% | 5.04% | 6.51% | 13.55% |
| Likely | 2.73% | 2.52% | 7.56% | 9.14% | 12.18% |
| Somewhat likely | 3.15% | 2.31% | 6.72% | 9.14% | 8.82% |
| Not likely at all | 1.26% | 1.37% | 1.26% | 1.05% | 1.58% |
| Grand Total | 9.24% | 8.19% | 20.59% | 25.84% | 36.13% |

A final question asked respondents to suggest other incentives that might influence them (*See Attachment 1*). Some of the suggestions included:

- Higher overall pay,
- Advanced degrees at no cost,
- Mentoring by a teacher of the year,

- Annual allowance for out-of-town professional development,
- Employment opportunities for the teacher's spouse, and
- Faster track to continuing contract status.

Some mentioned that no financial incentive would convince them to teach in a rural or high poverty public school, and others indicated that they had decided to teach in such schools whether financial incentives were available or not.

The SCDE has the data file available if other comparisons and analyses would be helpful.

Survey Response Summary

Schools to which survey was sent:

Anderson University
 Benedict College
 Bob Jones University
 Charleston Southern University
 Claflin University*
 Clemson University
 Coastal Carolina University*
 Coker College
 College of Charleston
 Columbia College
 Columbia International University
 Converse College
 Erskine College
 Francis Marion University
 Furman University
 Lander University
 Limestone College
 Morris College
 Newberry College
 North Greenville University
 Presbyterian College*
 South Carolina State University*
 Southern Wesleyan University
 The Citadel
 University of South Carolina
 USC–Aiken
 USC–Beaufort
 USC–Upstate

Winthrop University
 Wofford College


*No survey responses were received.

Students Responding

Number of students responding: 952

| | | |
|--------------------|-----|-------|
| Freshman | 41 | 4.3% |
| Sophomore | 86 | 9.0% |
| Junior | 272 | 28.6% |
| Senior | 415 | 43.6% |
| Grad Student/Other | 138 | 14.5% |

Figure 1. Students Responding



Majors Represented:

| Major/Certification Area |
|---|
| Art Education |
| Biological Sciences & Science Teaching (double major) |
| Biology |
| Chemistry/Secondary Ed. |
| Child Development & Family Studies |
| Choral Music Education |
| Comprehensive Special Education |
| Dance Education |
| Deaf/Hard of Hearing |
| Early Childhood and Elementary Education |

| Major/Certification Area |
|------------------------------------|
| Early Childhood and Family Studies |
| Early Childhood Education |
| English |
| Middle Level Education |
| Music Education |
| Secondary Mathematics Education |
| Secondary Social Studies |
| Special Education |
| Teacher Education |
| Theatre |


Survey Questions

Question: What are your plans after graduation?

| <u>Possible Answer Choices: (<i>respondents were allowed to select more than one</i>)</u> | <u>No</u> | <u>% of 952*</u> |
|---|-----------|----------------------|
| Teach in an elementary, middle, or high school at a South Carolina public school | 751 | 79% |
| Teach in a private school | 126 | 13% |
| Work in an education-related field | 127 | 13% |
| Teach in a public school in another state | 201 | 21% |
| Attend graduate school in an education program | 233 | 24% |
| Attend graduate school in a non-education program | 65 | 7% |
| Join Teach for America | 29 | 3% |
| Join the Peace Corps | 17 | 2% |
| Other (could type an individual response) | | |
| Teach Abroad | 12 | 1% |
| Unsure | 4 | 0.4% |
| Military | 1 | 0.1% |

*There were 1,566 total responses; students were allowed to select more than one answer.

Figure 2. Plans After Graduation




Question: Teaching in a rural or economically-challenged school: How likely are you to consider teaching in an elementary, middle, or secondary school located in a rural or economically-challenged public school district?

Possible Answer Choices:

| | | |
|-------------------|-----|-----|
| Not likely at all | 62 | 7% |
| Somewhat likely | 287 | 30% |
| Likely | 325 | 34% |
| Highly likely | 277 | 29% |

Figure 3. Likelihood of Teaching in Rural/Poverty Area



Question: Were you a Pro Team or Teacher Cadet participant?

Possible Answer Choices:

| | | |
|-----|-----|-----|
| Yes | 276 | 29% |
| No | 676 | 71% |

Question: Are you a Teaching Fellow Participant?

| | | |
|-----|-----|-----|
| Yes | 111 | 12% |
| No | 841 | 88% |

Question: Are you a Call Me Mister Participant?


| | | |
|-----|-----|-------|
| Yes | 5 | .53% |
| No | 947 | 99.4% |

Question: Learning challenges-How aware are you of some of the learning challenges children face who attend school in a rural and/or economically challenged school districts in South Carolina?

Possible Answer Choices:

| | | |
|----------------|-----|-----|
| Highly Aware | 371 | 39% |
| Aware | 437 | 46% |
| Somewhat Aware | 139 | 15% |
| Not Aware | 5 | 1% |

Figure 4. Awareness of Rural Challenges



Question: Can you relate to their challenges personally? If “yes”, please provide examples of ways you overcame them to reach your current level of achievement.

Possible Answer Choices:

| | |
|-------------|-----|
| Yes | 216 |
| No | 621 |
| N/A | 112 |
| No response | 3 |

Question: Would the following incentives, or others, cause you to consider moving to, and working in an elementary, middle, or high school located in a rural or economically-challenged public school district?

(Please rank from 1 to 5 with 1 being not likely and 5 being highly likely)¹

Possible Answer Choices:

- Having an assigned mentor to assist with the transition from college to full-time teaching in a rural or economically-challenged public school district.

| Mentor Responses | Number | Percentage |
|-------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Not likely | 51 | 5% |
| Somewhat likely | 76 | 8% |
| Likely | 199 | 21% |
| More than likely | 294 | 31% |
| Highly likely | 332 | 35% |

- Having an opportunity to work collaboratively with fellow teachers during the school day and after school.

| Collaboration Responses | Number | Percentage |
|--------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Not likely | 36 | 4% |
| Somewhat likely | 72 | 8% |
| Likely | 207 | 22% |
| More than likely | 319 | 34% |
| Highly likely | 318 | 33% |

- Opportunity to advance professionally, financially, or otherwise while still teaching.

| Advancement Responses | Number | Percentage |
|------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Not likely | 18 | 2% |
| Somewhat likely | 21 | 2% |
| Likely | 114 | 12% |
| More than likely | 294 | 31% |
| Highly likely | 505 | 53% |

- Additional pay for supervisory role.

| Supervisory Pay Responses | Number | Percentage |
|----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Not likely | 35 | 4% |
| Somewhat likely | 41 | 4% |
| Likely | 150 | 16% |
| More than likely | 285 | 30% |
| Highly likely | 441 | 46% |

¹ See table summarizing these responses on page 8.

- Merit pay for performance or subject taught.

| Merit Pay Responses | Number | Percentage |
|----------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Not likely | 81 | 9% |
| Somewhat likely | 84 | 9% |
| Likely | 224 | 24% |
| More than likely | 241 | 25% |
| Highly likely | 322 | 34% |

- Having loan repayment/forgiveness.

| Loan Benefits Responses | Number | Percentage |
|--------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Not likely | 80 | 8% |
| Somewhat likely | 28 | 3% |
| Likely | 99 | 10% |
| More than likely | 141 | 15% |
| Highly likely | 604 | 63% |

- Having loan options for advanced degrees.

| Advanced Degree Loan Options Responses | Number | Percentage |
|---|---------------|-------------------|
| Not likely | 68 | 7% |
| Somewhat likely | 50 | 5% |
| Likely | 144 | 15% |
| More than likely | 213 | 22% |
| Highly likely | 477 | 50% |

○

- Reduction in the number of additional responsibilities: Preps, supervision, extracurricular expectations.

| Reduced Responsibilities Responses | Number | Percentage |
|---|---------------|-------------------|
| Not likely | 72 | 8% |
| Somewhat likely | 105 | 11% |
| Likely | 277 | 29% |
| More than likely | 253 | 27% |
| Highly likely | 245 | 26% |

- Receive a housing allowance to teach in a rural or economically-challenged public school.

| Housing Allowance Responses | Number | Percentage |
|------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Not likely | 38 | 4% |
| Somewhat likely | 30 | 3% |
| Likely | 175 | 18% |
| More than likely | 236 | 25% |
| Highly likely | 473 | 50% |

- Receive a bonus for moving to and teaching in a public school in a rural or economically-challenged public school.

| Bonus Responses | Number | Percentage |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Not likely | 30 | 3% |
| Somewhat likely | 30 | 3% |
| Likely | 121 | 13% |
| More than likely | 233 | 24% |
| Highly likely | 538 | 57% |

- Access to suitable, community-based housing for teachers and other professionals.

| Responses | Number | Percentage |
|------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Not likely | 88 | 9% |
| Somewhat likely | 78 | 8% |
| Likely | 196 | 21% |
| More than likely | 246 | 26% |
| Highly likely | 344 | 36% |

- Other (please write response)
 - o Please see these responses in [Attachment 1](#).

Considerations and Next Steps:

The survey results indicate that a large percentage of students in higher education teacher preparation programs are willing to work in schools located in rural and economically challenged schools and districts. What remains unknown, however, is the best combination of resources to ensure that teachers remain in these schools. Almost every state is struggling with producing and retaining high quality teachers in areas that are challenging to staff. Other sources indicate considerations for recruitment and retention should include housing, stipends,² field placements in these areas, and marketing.³ This survey suggests that the following incentives may have the most influence on recruitment:

² <http://www.dpi.state.nc.us/docs/intern-research/reports/teacherhousing.pdf>

³ <http://www.theatlantic.com/education/archive/2015/09/americas-teaching-force-by-the-numbers/404590/>
http://www.slate.com/blogs/schooled/2015/03/13/teacher_recruitment_poor_rural_schools_need_better_marketing.html

| Incentive | Somewhat Likely to Consider Rural-Poverty School, % Highly Likely to be Influenced by Incentive | Somewhat Likely to Consider Rural-Poverty School, % Likely or Higher) | All Respondents, % Influenced by Incentive (Likely or Higher) |
|------------------------|--|--|--|
| Advance While Teaching | 13.2% | 28.7% | 96% |
| Bonus | 16.2% | 27.7% | 94% |
| Housing Allowance | 12.5% | 27.8% | 93% |
| Supervisor/Pay | 11.9% | 28.3% | 92% |
| Collaboration | 6.7% | 25.6% | 89% |
| Loan Forgiveness | 14.8% | 25.2% | 89% |

The SCDE will work with CERRA and CHE to develop the additional questions required per Act 291; however, as a state, we already have in place many of the same recruitment strategies used by other states. The SCDE believes that one problem is that most students entering college are not aware of the many existing benefits for those who select teacher, and those who teach in a rural or high poverty public school. This issue could be addressed with a multi-faceted marketing and communications plan so that high school seniors and students eligible for entry to an education program, their guidance counselors, their parents, and the general public become aware of the existing loan and loan forgiveness programs, and other benefits. Because there are multiple agencies and entities involved, as well as individual school districts, a cohesive strategy for ensuring clear communications about opportunities in rural school districts is needed. Providing support to these schools and districts, in the form of increased professional and leadership development, should be included in recruitment and retention strategies to address both the responses included in the survey regarding opportunities to advance professionally and to enhance these opportunities for teachers.⁴ Work to ensure that current and future students are served by an adequate number of effective teachers will require collaboration among the SCDE, CERRA, CHE, and all educator preparation programs.⁵ The second portion of the required reporting to include a draft marketing and communications plan will be submitted by February 15, 2017.

4

http://www.slate.com/blogs/schooled/2015/03/13/teacher_recruitment_poor_rural_schools_need_better_marketing.html

⁵ https://nces.ed.gov/programs/coe/indicator_slc.asp

Attachment 1: “Other” Responses Concerning Incentives

| Other (please write response) |
|--|
| A need for teachers in these areas |
| Administrative support |
| Also, the possibility of providing childcare for younger children. |
| Better special education resources and programs in those areas |
| Educators need to receive better pay as is, but teachers who invest/sacrifice more should be justly compensated. |
| Ever since I knew I wanted to become a teacher I knew I wanted to work in impoverished/economically challenged schools. While the incentives would be great, with or without them it still wouldn't change my desire to work in these types of schools. |
| Having a teacher of the year from my field be my mentor during my first year of teaching would be the best job benefit for me. Special Education is a complex field so having a smooth transition into the workforce is crucial. |
| I already reside in a rural area and am interested in teaching in the area I reside. |
| I am from a rural SC town of 2,000 people, so I understand the isolation and lack of services. Having lived in Columbia, SC, Spain, and Rock Hill, SC, I've grown accustomed to the services offered to "city dwellers": hospitals, gyms, parks, libraries, ample grocery stores, sporting events, internet access, cell phone service, even the ability to socialize with other young professionals, attend academic conferences. I might be tempted to move if I were paid to attend academic conferences various times during the year to the conferences of my choice and given time to do so as part of my professional development (since foreign language teachers often feel isolated being the only FL teacher in the school anyway, and that feeling is compounded by living in a rural area). I've worked too hard for 8 years (paying out of my own pocket) to just give up on my own education and betterment as a teacher. |
| I fully expect to work in a rural school because it's what I am comfortable with. Any of these accommodations would be nice, but the latter few seem far-fetched. |
| I genuinely believe that my talents and time would be better suited in a school setting where students might not be as well off financially. |
| I have never intended to work in a specifically low economic school and I couldn't be persuaded into it. |
| I have not decided to become a teacher for the money; so, you would not tempting me to do anything buy offering money. Although, the bonus to help with moving expenses would be highly appreciated. I have not had a car for almost ten years, so transportation to help with a move would be great! I have chosen teaching for the self-worth it provides. Sure, if teachers begin to be paid more that is wonderful and well-deserved; but, I did not decide to become a teacher for financial reasons. |
| I just want to say that I think merit pay for teachers is a terrible idea. |
| I was already planning on the high possibility of teaching in a Title One/poverty school, but all of these benefits would be helpful. |

| Other (please write response) |
|--|
| If God call's me to go, I will follow. |
| If my significant other or my family moved to a rural or economically-challenged area, I would be likely to move there with them and look for work as a teacher there. |
| If tenure is going to continue being an incentive, then being able to get tenure faster, job stability. |
| Knowing that the school board is trying to help their schools in every way they can! |
| Lack of work opportunities for my spouse are the barrier |
| Large pay and overall respect, both of which teachers now do not get nearly enough of |
| No way I would want to share a space with another teacher. I like living alone...lived with 4 siblings and shared a room with 2 sisters until I went to college, didn't get my own room until graduate school. Never sharing again, thank you very much. What do you mean loan options for additional degrees? You mean non-payment right? Because those teachers are improving YOUR schools. I'd laugh if someone said my paycheck was based on how well my students did. Does a doctor get paid based on how healthy his patients are? This math teacher candidate says that is not a logical option! |
| Outreach of these opportunities to us as teachers, I am not seeing any schools/ or departments trying to recruit me with any of these options |
| Professional autonomy |
| Support and intervention as a first year teacher in a title 1, rural school |
| Teachers wishing to obtain advanced degrees should not be charged to better themselves. The desire to become a better teacher should not burden the teacher because the teacher already feels a burden by not having the needed skills to perform her/his job as s/he wishes. Students also need to have role models who demonstrate a life-long love of learning through acquiring higher degrees. There is no way I would ever support merit pay for performance or subject taught; if I am going into a poverty-stricken school, it may take some time to build my students up to the level I want them at (not to be mistaken with the level the State wants them at with Standardized Tests). I also would never say that my subject is more valuable than anyone else's subject area. Our students deserve and NEED exposure to a variety of subjects, themes, topics, perspectives, cultures, and languages because they grow up in isolation. We have to push them to explore, to adventure into the unknown and to tackle hurdles because they will constantly be faced with hurdles if they ever dare to dream of "making it out." |
| There have been rural locations that have paid for physicians, nurses, and other medical staff to attend school. In return they obligated for a number of years of service. Teaching in rural or poverty areas could consider similar. |