



## Stono Park Elementary School

1699 Garden Street  
Charleston, SC 29407

<b>Grades</b>	PK-5 Elementary School	
<b>Enrollment</b>	263 Students	
<b>Principal</b>	Michelle Simmons	(843) 763-1507
<b>Superintendent</b>	Dr. Nancy J. McGinley	843-937-6319
<b>Board Chair</b>	Mrs. Cindy Bohn Coats	843-529-2457

# THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA 2013 ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD



## RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD

YEAR	ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING
<b>2013</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Below Average</b>
2012	Good	Excellent
2011	Good	Good
2010	Good	Average
2009	Average	Average

## DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent – School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good – School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average – School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average – School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk – School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

## SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

<http://ed.sc.gov>  
<http://www.eoc.sc.gov>

**Percent of Student PASS Records Matched for Purpose of Computing Growth Rating**


Percent of students tested in 2012-13 whose 2011-12 test scores were located | 100%

**ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS\***

Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	At-Risk
1	13	105	47	15

\* Ratings are calculated with data available by 12/14/2013.

**Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS)**



\* Elementary schools with Students Like Ours are elementary schools with poverty indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for the school.

**Definition of Critical Terms**

Exemplary	"Exemplary" means the student demonstrated exemplary performance in meeting the grade level standard.
Met	"Met" means the student met the grade level standard.
Not Met	"Not Met" means that the student did not meet the grade level standard.

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A–Not Applicable    N/AV–Not Available    N/C–Not Collected    N/R–Not Reported    I/S–Insufficient Sample

## School Profile

	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
<b>Students (n=263)</b>				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	100.0%	No Change	100.0%	100.0%
Retention rate	0.7%	Up from 0.4%	1.1%	0.9%
Attendance rate	96.8%	Down from 97.2%	95.9%	96.3%
Served by gifted and talented program	4.1%	N/A	2.7%	7.2%
With disabilities	8.1%	N/A	13.5%	12.4%
Older than usual for grade	0.9%	N/A	2.9%	1.9%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	0.0%	No Change	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Teachers (n=27)</b>				
Teachers with advanced degrees	51.9%	Down from 59.3%	60.0%	62.5%
Continuing contract teachers	81.5%	Down from 92.6%	76.7%	83.3%
Teachers returning from previous year	78.2%	Down from 84.1%	84.8%	88.3%
Teacher attendance rate	96.2%	Down from 97.1%	94.9%	95.0%
Average teacher salary*	\$46,485	Down 3.4%	\$46,184	\$48,193
Professional development days/teacher	8.5 days	Down from 9.1 days	10.4 days	11.0 days
<b>School</b>				
Principal's years at school	3.0	Up from 2.0	4.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	19.1 to 1	Down from 22.5 to 1	18.2 to 1	20.1 to 1
Prime instructional time	92.6%	Down from 94.2%	89.5%	90.0%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No Change	Good	Good
SACS accreditation	No	No Change	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	100.0%	No Change	100.0%	100.0%
Character development program	Excellent	No Change	Good	Excellent
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$9,126	Up 4.3%	\$8,262	\$7,364
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	70.0%	Down from 71.0%	67.0%	68.0%
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	69.0%	Down from 69.2%	64.0%	66.0%

\* Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

\*\* Prior year audited financial data are reported.

## Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A–Not Applicable N/AV–Not Available N/C–Not Collected N/R–Not Reported I/S–Insufficient Sample

**Report of Principal and School Improvement Council**

The 2012-2013 school term has been marked by high achievement and award-winning accomplishments for Stono Park Elementary. An ongoing goal for us is to duplicate and maintain high levels of success. Our approach by design is making standards-based teaching the top priority. Moving more students to proficient and advanced levels, literacy improvement and teacher quality have been our other key themes.

Stono Park staff continues to grow professionally to refine their teaching practices. The faculty participates in Teacher Curriculum Team meetings, monthly faculty meetings and professional development sessions. Standards-based instruction delivered by high performing teachers serves as the foundation of our core work. In 2012, the school earned a Growth Rating of Excellent on the state report card, which is an improvement from the previous year. Stono Park also met ESEA objectives in 2012. Under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, Stono Park received the designation of Title I Reward School for Performance, being among the highest-performing Title I schools in a given year.

Early literacy instruction and intervention was a focus for this academic year. The continuation of the Primary Grades Academy along with Read Well, a research-based reading program, allows Stono Park to provide a solid foundation for early reading success. We have also instituted various programs to promote a focus on literacy as well as teaching and learning. The 25 Book Campaign, Drop Everything & Read schedule, MAP Masters, Positive Referrals and a Monthly Character Education Award encourage our boys and girls to strive for excellence. There is a quarterly school-wide writing exercise and daily targeted assistance from certified support personnel offering students instruction at their respective levels.

Our students participate in school-wide Book of the Month units, instructional field trips and computer assisted instructional programs. We have a Pre-School club that is innovative in promoting literacy and supporting parents. A fresh fruit and vegetable grant helps us to encourage healthy living and choices with a daily snack option to students as well as a garden which students help to cultivate. Students with asthma also are able to develop healthy and active lifestyles by participating in a program sponsored by the school nurse called Thrive!

We engage our families and the school community in the educational process every chance we get! An annual Meet the Teacher/Open House night, quarterly parent newsletters, weekly preview sheets, PTA meetings, ParentLink calls and an Annual Reading Celebration all contribute to building strong partnerships. We look forward to establishing new alliances with business partners and volunteers.

At Stono Park Elementary, we believe that it is our charge to develop students who are prepared to compete in a global society. We will continue to focus on providing a safe and caring learning environment where academic excellence is the standard.

Michelle Simmons, Principal

Barbara Ramsey, SIC Chairperson

**Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents**

	<b>Teachers</b>	<b>Students*</b>	<b>Parents*</b>
Number of surveys returned	N/A	33	31
Percent satisfied with learning environment	N/A	87.8%	93.6%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	N/A	84.9%	87.1%
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	N/A	90.9%	90.4%

\* Only students at the highest elementary school grade level and their parents were included.

## Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A–Not Applicable    N/AV–Not Available    N/C–Not Collected    N/R–Not Reported    I/S–Insufficient Sample

**ESEA/Federal Accountability Rating System**

In July 2013, the South Carolina Department of Education was granted a waiver from several accountability requirements of the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA). This waiver allowed SC to replace the former pass/fail system with one that utilizes more of the statewide assessments already in place and combine these subject area results with graduation rate (in high schools) to determine if each school met the target or made progress toward the target. This analysis results in a letter grade for the school rather than the pass/fail system of previous years. For a detailed review of the matrix for each school and districts that determined the letter grade, please use the following link: <http://ed.sc.gov/data/esea/> or request this information from your child's district or school.

<b>Overall Weighted Points Total</b>	<b>95.0</b>
<b>Overall Grade Conversion</b>	<b>A</b>

Index Score	Grade	Description
90-100	A	Performance substantially exceeds the state's expectations.
80-89.9	B	Performance exceeds the state's expectations.
70-79.9	C	Performance meets the state's expectations.
60-69.9	D	Performance does not meet the state's expectations.
Less than 60	F	Performance is substantially below the state's expectations

**Accountability Indicator for Title I Schools**

Stono Park Elementary School school has been designated as a:

- Title I Reward School for Performance - among the highest performing Title I schools in a given year.
- Title I Reward School for Progress – one of the schools with substantial progress in student subgroups.
- Title I Focus School – one of the schools with the highest average performance gap between subgroups.
- Title I Priority School – one of the 5% lowest performing Title I schools.
- Title I School – does not qualify as Reward, Focus or Priority School.
- Non-Title I School – therefore the designations above are not applicable.

**Teacher Quality and Student Attendance**

	<b>Our District</b>	<b>State</b>
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	3.1%	2.3%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	4.1%	4.9%

	<b>Our School</b>	<b>State Objective</b>	<b>Met State Objective</b>
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.0%	0.0%	Yes
Student attendance rate	96.8%	94.0%*	Yes

\* Or greater than last year

Abbreviations for Missing Data

## Performance By Group

Subgroups	ELA Mean	Math Mean	Science Mean	Social Studies Mean	ELA % Tested	Math % Tested
<b>Grades 3-5</b>						
All Students	664.3	654.7	620.7	653.2	100.0	100.0
Male	660.3	656.4	620.9	655.5	100.0	100.0
Female	667.8	653.2	620.6	651.5	100.0	100.0
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American	661.3	650.6	616.6	648.5	100.0	100.0
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Subsidized meals	663.2	653.3	619.6	649.6	100.0	100.0
Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)	635.0	635.0	635.0	635.0	95.0	95.0

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A–Not Applicable	N/AV–Not Available	N/C–Not Collected	N/R–Not Reported	I/S–Insufficient Sample
--------------------	--------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------------

## PASS Performance By Grade Level

	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary
<b>English/Language Arts</b>							
<b>2012</b>	3	45	100	9.1	25	65.9	90.9
	4	35	94.3	3.3	43.3	53.3	96.7
	5	41	100	22.5	50	27.5	77.5
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>2013</b>	3	44	100	5	25	70	95
	4	48	100	18.2	47.7	34.1	81.8
	5	34	100	15.2	60.6	24.2	84.8
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Mathematics</b>							
<b>2012</b>	3	45	100	18.2	34.1	47.7	81.8
	4	35	100	3.1	46.9	50	96.9
	5	41	100	30	52.5	17.5	70
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>2013</b>	3	44	100	20	40	40	80
	4	48	100	11.4	63.6	25	88.6
	5	34	100	9.1	51.5	39.4	90.9
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Science</b>							
<b>2012</b>	3	23	100	45.5	36.4	18.2	54.5
	4	35	100	12.5	53.1	34.4	87.5
	5	21	100	30	65	5	70
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>2013</b>	3	22	100	20	70	10	80
	4	48	100	18.2	72.7	9.1	81.8
	5	17	100	25	68.8	6.3	75
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A--Not Applicable N/AV--Not Available N/C--Not Collected N/R--Not Reported I/S--Insufficient Sample

PASS Performance By Grade Level

	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary
<b>Social Studies</b>							
<b>2012</b>	3	22	100	18.2	50	31.8	81.8
	4	35	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	100
	5	20	100	40	40	20	60
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>2013</b>	3	22	100	10	45	45	90
	4	48	100	9.1	52.3	38.6	90.9
	5	17	100	17.6	41.2	41.2	82.4
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Writing</b>							
<b>2012</b>	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	5	41	100	27.5	47.5	25	72.5
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>2013</b>	3	42	100	10	45	45	90
	4	46	100	29.5	43.2	27.3	70.5
	5	33	100	3	60.6	36.4	97
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A–Not Applicable N/AV–Not Available N/C–Not Collected N/R–Not Reported I/S–Insufficient Sample