



# SC Annual School Report Card Summary

Lakeview Elementary  
 Greenwood 50  
 Grades: PK-5 Enrollment: 624  
 Principal: Virginia T. Metts  
 Superintendent: Dr. Darrell Johnson  
 Board Chair: Debrah Miller

## PERFORMANCE

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of ratings, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on [www.ed.sc.gov](http://www.ed.sc.gov) and [www.eoc.sc.gov](http://www.eoc.sc.gov) as well as school and school district websites. Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.

| YEAR | ABSOLUTE RATING | GROWTH RATING | PALMETTO GOLD/SILVER AWARD | AYP STATUS | NCLB IMPROVEMENT STATUS |
|------|-----------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------|-------------------------|
| 2008 | Good            | Below Average | TBD                        | Not Met    | NI                      |
| 2007 | Good            | At-Risk       | N/A                        | Not Met    | N/A                     |
| 2006 | Good            | At-Risk       | N/A                        | Met        | N/A                     |





## ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS\*

| EXCELLENT | GOOD | AVERAGE | BELOW AVERAGE | AT-RISK |
|-----------|------|---------|---------------|---------|
| 3         | 33   | 55      | 3             | 0       |

\* Ratings are calculated with data available by 02/17/2009. Schools with Students like Ours are Elementary Schools with poverty indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this school.




## PACT PERFORMANCE

Our School
  Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours
  Elementary schools statewide



## NAEP PERFORMANCE\*

\* Performance reported for SC and nation, data not available at school level. Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels.



## SC PERFORMANCE GOAL

2010 Goal:  
 By 2010, SC's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

2020 Goal: TBD

### Abbreviations Key

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available NIC Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample TBD To be determined  
 NI Newly Identified CSI Continuing School Improvement CA Corrective Action RP Plan to Restructure R Restructure DELAY School Improvement Status HOLD School Improvement Status

# Lakeview Elementary [Greenwood 50]

## SCHOOL PROFILE

|  | Our School | Change from Last Year | Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours | Median Elementary School |
|--|------------|-----------------------|--|--------------------------|
| <b>Students (n=624)</b>  |            |                       |  |                          |
| Retention rate   | 1.0%       | Down from 3.6%        | 1.8%                                       | 2.3%                     |
| Attendance rate  | 97.1%      | Up from 96.9%         | 96.5%                                      | 96.3%                    |
| Eligible for gifted and talented   | 21.9%      | Up from 21.1%         | 16.7%                                      | 10.4%                    |
| With disabilities other than speech  | 6.5%       | Down from 7.7%        | 6.6%                                       | 7.5%                     |
| Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses | 0.5%       | No Change             | 0.0%                                       | 0.0%                     |
| <b>Teachers (n=41)</b>   |            |                       |  |                          |
| Teachers with advanced degrees   | 56.1%      | Up from 46.5%         | 60.5%                                      | 56.7%                    |
| Continuing contract teachers   | 85.4%      | Up from 79.1%         | 81.5%                                      | 77.3%                    |
| Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates                        | 2.5%       | Down from 2.7%        | 0.0%                                       | 0.0%                     |
| Teachers returning from previous year                                      | 90.1%      | Up from 87.9%         | 88.9%                                      | 86.4%                    |
| Teacher attendance rate  | 95.3%      | Down from 96.8%       | 94.7%                                      | 94.9%                    |
| Average teacher salary   | \$45,241   | Up 11.6%              | \$46,557                                   | \$45,345                 |
| Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers                            | 0.0%       | No Change             | 0.0%                                       | 0.0%                     |
| <b>School</b>  |            |                       |  |                          |
| Principal's years at school  | 11.0       | Up from 10.0          | 5.0  | 4.0                      |
| Student-teacher ratio in core subjects                                     | 19.5 to 1  | Down from 20.9 to 1   | 19.6 to 1                                  | 18.5 to 1                |
| Prime instructional time   | 91.9%      | Down from 92.8%       | 89.9%                                      | 89.8%                    |
| Opportunities in the arts  | Good       | No Change             | Good                                       | Good                     |
| SACS accreditation   | Yes        | No Change             | Yes  | Yes                      |
| Character development program  | Excellent  | No Change             | Excellent                                  | Excellent                |
| Dollars spent per pupil*   | \$6,061    | Up 3.9%               | \$6,545                                    | \$7,052                  |
| Percent of expenditures for instruction*                                   | 66.9%      | Down from 68.4%       | 69.5%                                      | 69.1%                    |
| Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*                              | 63.9%      | Down from 64.1%       | 65.4%                                      | 64.2%                    |
| % of AYP objectives met  | 82.4%      |                       | 91.4%                                      | 85.7%                    |

\* Prior year audited financial data available.

## REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The theme for the 2007-2008 school year at Lakeview Elementary School was: "A Garden of Teaching and Learning." We used "Responsibility Training" in our classrooms to help students become responsible for their own learning and behavior. Teachers continued training in balanced literacy to provide a research-based reading program that focused on student reading levels and needs and taught students effective reading strategies. We continued to work on ways to "close the achievement gap" by looking at the needs of our students giving those students learning activities designed to help them achieve their best.

Our student council and service clubs raised money for community service organizations. We partnered with the Lakeview PTO, and McCallum Orthodontics to teach effective character traits and skills. We are proud to announce that Mrs. Anne Burkes was named "Teacher of the Year." We had many curriculum offerings, including Reading Recovery, Leveled Literacy Intervention, GATAS, a computer lab, Art, Music, PE, a "push-in" reading teacher, and Special Education Services.

Our PTO supported many family activities, including a fall carnival and Lakeview "Nights Out." Our School Improvement Council worked to get parents and students involved by hosting a community literacy event in partnership with the downtown Piggly Wiggly.

We continue to "Reach for the Stars" at Lakeview Elementary School.

Mrs. Virginia Metts, Principal  
Mrs. Tina Konsek, Chairperson – Lakeview School Improvement Council

## EVALUATION RESULTS

|  | Teachers | Students* | Parents* |
|--|----------|-----------|----------|
| Number of surveys returned                             | 37       | 87        | 54       |
| Percent satisfied with learning environment            | 94.6%    | 87.2%     | 86.8%    |
| Percent satisfied with social and physical environment | 91.9%    | 80.5%     | 81.1%    |
| Percent satisfied with school-home relations           | 97.3%    | 87.4%     | 84.9%    |

\*Only students at the highest elementary school grade level at this school and their parents were included.

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of rating, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on [www.ed.sc.gov](http://www.ed.sc.gov) and [www.eoc.sc.gov](http://www.eoc.sc.gov) as well as school and school district websites.

Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.

### Abbreviations Key

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample TBD To be determined

NI Newly Identified CSI Continuing School Improvement CA Corrective Action RP Plan to Restructure R Restructure DELAY School Improvement Status HOLD School Improvement Status